

### Architectural Review Board

### TO MEMBERS OF THE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD AND APPLICANTS:

The Architectural Review Board will conduct a public hearing at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, January 16, 2020, in the Mason Conference Room, Mezzanine Level, Pensacola City Hall, 222 West Main Street, Pensacola, Florida to consider applications for renovations, alterations, demolitions, new construction and/or variances, in one or more of the following districts: Pensacola Historic District, North Hill Preservation District, Old East Hill Preservation District, Palafox Historic Business District, and Governmental Center District. The applicant(s), or authorized agent, must be present for the public hearing in order for the Board to act upon their request.

### AGENDA

### **QUORUM / CALL TO ORDER**

### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The Architectural Review Board shall approve, or approve with changes, the minutes from the meeting held on **December 19, 2019**.

### **OPEN FORUM**

### NEW BUSINESS

Item 1 1304 N. Barcelona Street NHPD **Contributing Structure** PR-1AAA Scott Sallis, Dalrymple Sallis Architecture, is requesting modifications to a recently approved project.

Item 2	1 S. Jefferson Street	PHBD
Non-Contributing Struc	ture	C-2A
-	mprovement Board, is requesting approva he Jefferson Street parking garage.	Il for a "Puppy Pit Stop"
Item 3	412 E. Belmont Street	OEHPD

Contributing Structure OE	HC-1
Jesse LaCoste, LaCoste Construction Group, is seeking final approval for a rear add	ition
and exterior modifications to a contributing structure.	

5	<b>200 W. Jackson Street</b> re requesting a Variance to increase the maxim (625 sf) to 27.36% (684 sf) to accommodate a c g quarters.	
5	200 W. Jackson Street re requesting approval for exterior modifications dd a detached two-car garage with second-story	
ltom 6	2 N. Delefey Street	סמווס

Item 6	2 N. Palafox Street	PHBD
Contributing Structure		C-2A
The UWF Historic Trust is re	equesting modifications to a previously approved mural	
ltem 7	423 E. Government Street	PHD

**Contributing Structure** Robin Hoban is requesting approval to remove a chimney.

### ADJOURNMENT

GENERAL INFORMATION: All persons having an interest in the above are invited to attend. Applicants are hereby notified to be present or have an authorized agent attend the meeting. Any discussions and/or presentations made by an authorized agent shall be binding.

ADA STATEMENT: The City of Pensacola adheres to the Americans with Disabilities Act and will make reasonable accommodations for access to City services, programs, Please call 435-1675 (or TDD 435-1666) for further information. and activities. Requests must be made at least 48 hours in advance of the event in order to allow the City time to provide the requested services.

APPEAL STATEMENT: If any person decides to appeal any decision made with respect to any matter considered at this meeting or public hearing, such person may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and any such evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

REVIEW OF BOARD DECISIONS: Any person aggrieved by a decision of the Board may, within fifteen (15) days thereafter, apply to the City Council for review of the Board's decision. A written notice shall be filed with the City Clerk requesting City Council to review said decision. If the applicant obtains a building permit within the fifteen-day time period specified for review of a board decision, said permit may be subject to revocation and any work undertaken in accordance with said permit may be required to be removed.

Citv of Pensacola Architectural Review Board Agenda – January 16, 2020 Page 2

### D -2

HC-1 / Wood Cottages

City of Pensacola Architectural Review Board Agenda – January 16, 2020 Page 3

VARIANCE APPEAL: If denied a variance by the Board, the petitioner has thirty (30) days from the date of the meeting to appeal the decision in accordance with Section 12-12-2 of the Land Development Code. Any person or persons, jointly or severally, aggrieved by a decision of the Board may apply to the Circuit Court of the First Judicial Court of Florida. The Planning Services Division and Attorney of the City of Pensacola must be notified in writing of an appeal within five (5) days of the application being made to the Circuit Court. If the Planning Services Division and City Attorney have not received a copy of the notice of appeal within thirty-five (35) days of the date of the meeting at which the variance was denied, the petitioner shall be notified by the Building Official that they have ten (10) days to remove or correct the violation.



### Architectural Review Board

### MINUTES OF THE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

December 19, 2019

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Chairperson Quina, Vice Chairperson Crawford, Board Member Mead, Board Member Salter, Board Member Villegas

- **MEMBERS ABSENT:** Board Member Campbell-Hatler, Board Member Fogarty
- **STAFF PRESENT:** Historic Preservation Planner Harding, Senior Planner Statler, Board Advisor Pristera, Assistant City Attorney Lindsay, Neighborhoods Administrator Powell, Siedah Rosa, Digital Media Coordinator
- **OTHERS PRESENT:** R. Scott & Karen Holland, Alicia Ahern, Richard Mentzer, Jane Combs, Steven Cameron Rhodes, J. Veal, Nannette Chandler

### CALL TO ORDER / QUORUM PRESENT

Chairperson Quina called the Architectural Review Board (ARB) meeting to order at 2:05 p.m. with a quorum present and explained the Board procedures to the audience.

### APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Board Member Mead made a motion to approve the November 21, 2019 minutes, seconded by Board Member Villegas, and it carried unanimously.

OPEN FORUM - None.

### NEW BUSINESS

Item 1

### 215 W. De Soto Street

NHPD PR-1AAA

### Contributing Structure

### Action taken: Approved fence-abbreviated review for shed.

Jane and Taylor Combs are requesting approval to construct an accessory structure and a section of wood fencing at a contributing structure. In the pre-ARB review, the roof pitch was discussed to make it less commercial. Photos of the existing fence were provided.

Ms. Combs presented to the Board and stated she wanted the shed to blend in, and it would be custom built. Chairperson Quina suggested making it look like part of the fence, having a roof over that section so it feels like part of the fence with a portion roofed over. Board Member Villegas stated because it was custom work already, there were some details which could be added that would marry it better with the current structure and would give better value for the home as well. She pointed out that typically in North Hill the original structure is acknowledged. Board Member Salter noted a small addition or enclosure on the rear of the property which appeared to have Novelty siding and agreed that the siding should match the portion of the rear

222 West Main Street Pensacola, Florida 32502 www.cityofpensacola.com

of the house with similar Novelty siding and even match the roofing materials with asphalt shingles. Chairperson Quina advised they had two options to choose from, and the details for the shed were not detailed enough for a decision by the Board. Board Member Villegas made a motion to approve the fence and to return with an abbreviated review for the structure of the actual shed based on the comments by the Board. Board Member Mead seconded the motion and with no speakers, the motion carried unanimously.

### Item 2

### 430 E. Intendencia Street

PHD HR-1 / Wood Cottages

OEHPD

**OEHR-2** 

### Non-Contributing Structure Action taken: Approved.

Steve Rhodes is seeking approval to add a pool and hardscape features to the rear of a recently constructed residence (2018). Please note that this request is for hardscape elements only and that no additional landscaping will be added at this time.

Mr. Rhodes presented to the Board, and it was noted the rear yard was completely fenced. Board Member Salter noted the design of the wall on the east side was designed to mimic the bottom of the house. Board Member Mead asked why they changed materials at the impourdment wall, and Mr. Rhodes advised it was at the client's request. **Board Member Salter made a motion to approve, seconded by Board Member Mead, and with no speakers, it carried unanimously.** 

Item 3	820 E. La Rua Street	OEHPD
Contributing Structure		OEHC-1

### Action taken: Approved.

Jim Veal is requesting approval to modify an accessory structure and hardscape features. The initial project was approved by the Board in September 2019. With exception to the garage door (product information included), the previously approved materials have not changed. The applicant is also proposing to install an automobile carousel in the rear yard to provide access to and from the garage.

Mr. Veal presented to the Board and stated the basic roof slope was 4 and 12 for the rectangle, and the storage appurtenance has a hipped roof. Board Member Salter stated the only thing that stood out to him was that the shape and footprint and massing of the building was not really something you would find or expect, but understanding the reason and the fact the front angled wall of the storage building steps back a little so the elevation isn't interrupted, allows the building to read as a simple garage from what might be visible from the street. Mr. Veal explained it looked more unique on paper than it would after it's built. Board Member Mead made a motion to approve, seconded by Board Member Salter. With no speakers, the motion carried unanimously.

### Item 4

### 615 E. La Rua Street

### Contributing Structure

### Action taken: Approved with abbreviated reviews.

(Board Member Crawford arrived.) Richard Mentzer is requesting approval for exterior modifications to a contributing structure. This request was denied by the Board in November 2019.

Mr. Mentzer presented to the Board and stated Board recommendations were incorporated into the design. He clarified the lattice would be wood. Historic Preservation Planner Harding stated the windows were not included in this packet, but they were handled through an abbreviated review, and they had been able to retain all the wood windows since they found a contractor for restoration. The remaining aluminum windows were changed to wood clad. Mr. Mentzer explained the dormer for egress from the bedroom was not visible from the front. He

stated in the first presentation, it did not look like the rails were connecting to the columns, and this presentation was a better rendering of the wood railings. He indicated for the columns, they were flexible in doing 6x6 or 4x4, and he furnished photos of the neighbor's columns for an example. He also stated the side door was standard, and the front door a little more ornate. Board Member Salter asked if the front door could be restored since it matched the dormer and appeared to be original to the house. Mr. Mentzer stated the door was flimsy with screws holding it together. Board Member Mead thought it might be rebuilt. Board Member Villegas suggested the side door needed to be addressed since it was visible. Chairperson Quina suggested a half glass/half wood door would be appropriate. He advised the Building Official sometimes allows historic doors to be used in historic properties on a case by case basis if shown how it attaches and how it is framed. He suggested looking in one of the architectural salvage yards. Board Member Mead addressed the detail on the columns and pickets with Victorian character. Mr. Mentzer agreed they would match the beaded corners with the gingerbread ornament at the top as close as possible. Mr. Mead made a motion to approve with the addition of presenting detail on the ornament and the beading represented with regard to the posts in an abbreviated review, and with a treatment or restoration of the door with glass in a similar door profile also submitted in an abbreviated review, with acknowledgement of the column at 6x6. Board Member Villegas seconded the motion, and with no speakers, it carried unanimously.

### Item 5

### 111 S. Florida Blanca

### PHD HR-2 / Wood Cottages

### Contributing Structure Action taken: Approved with abbreviated review.

Carter Quina, Quina Grundhoefer Architects, is requesting final approval to add onto the rear of a contributing structure. The demolition of the existing rear portion and conceptual design of the new addition was approved by the Board in November 2019.

Chairperson Quina recused himself from the discussion.

Mr. Guarisco presented to the Board and stated they had made modifications suggested by the Board including revising the base of the chimney to brick with the stainless steel flue rising on the second floor. They were using horizontal Novelty Pine siding only on the elevations, some of which will be reclaimed from the demolition and, painted to match the existing. On the north elevation, a window was added underneath the staircase. A picture of the screened porch was provided. He indicated the chain link fence would be replaced with a wood picket fence, and they were considering adding solar panels to the roof.

Board Member Salter addressed the north elevation storage door and the reason it was different. Mr. Guarisco advised they could provide a more similar door if needed. He also stated they were hoping to use reclaimed doors which could be coordinated. Vice Chairperson Crawford asked if the overhang would be cut around the flue, and Mr. Guarisco provided a roof plan which showed the roof would be cut around it. Board Member Mead liked the triple wall assembly. Regarding the porch and the Board's recommendation, Mr. Guarisco stated the owner felt strongly that they wanted an unbroken view without the horizontal element. It was confirmed solar panels were used at 313 E. Intendencia, and per Florida Statues, the Board could not deny anyone from collecting natural energies, but the Board could recommendation the location of the solar panels. Board Member Salter thought the location on the rear south was an ideal location. Board Member Villegas agreed with the placement but thought the materials should be reviewed.

Vice Chairperson Crawford wanted to make sure the verbiage for the flue was right. Board Member Mead stated this was combined with a consistent masonry foundation of the firebox, and the flue element would conform to the metal roof and was intended as a finished flue. Board Member Salter explained if the fireplace was made a few inches deeper, the location of

the flue might be such that it could be stepped off the house slightly, then they wouldn't have to cut the roof around it. Regarding strapping, Board Member Mead suggested for stability, adding some ornamental ironwork to give a more Victorian feel consistent with the era. Mr. Guarisco suggested providing some strapping detail. **Board Member Salter made a motion to approve** with the specifics of the stainless steel fireplace flue attachment relationship to the building and the masonry base be submitted as an abbreviated review with more detail; with the specifics of the solar panels manufacturer and attachment to the roof; and all the exterior doors to be of the same or similar style. Board Member Mead seconded the motion. With no speakers, the motion carried 4 to 1 with Chairperson Quina recusing himself.

Item 6

**Demolition / Non-**

314 S. Alcaniz Street

PHD HC-1/Wood Cottages District

### Contributing Action taken: Approved with comments.

Scott Holland is requesting approval to demolish a non-contributing structure. According to the Escambia County property appraiser website, the existing structure was built in 1960 and is believed to have been used as a one-car garage. In its place, the applicant plans to construct a code-compliant single-family residence that will complement the historic district. Per the project description (provided), the applicant is wishing to relocate the existing structure to the Lee House B&B.

Mr. Holland presented to the Board and stated his plans for restoration were just not working out, but they had talked to several individuals who might like to have the house and possibly move it. He also explained the home was slab on grade and built as a one-car garage, and rising water was an issue. They were concerned with future storms; the elevation was determined to be right at 10'. He preferred returning with another conceptual presentation to make sure all the concerns were addressed. He stated they were 12' - 18' from the rear setback line. He advised they were not at the required 20' and would need to re-plat the two lots and get a common easement. He explained he would eventually sell his office building.

Advisor Pristera did not see the cottage as contributing. Mr. Holland stated they had an agreement with the Lee House who rented the "Pi cottage" for three years, and they would like to have the structure. He also wanted the Board's opinion on granting a variance, and Board Member Mead explained the variance requirements; Mr. Holland stated he would like to be at 13' instead of the 20' requirement. Chairperson Quina suggested the variance could be hardship since they were living on a small lot in a historic district.

Board Member Salter advised this structure was unique and deemed non-contributing, however, now it was some 60 years old and incredibly maintained and renovated. He felt even though it was not designated contributing, it contributed to the atmosphere of the area. He felt if it could be moved, it would depend on the location; its real importance was on the square. Board Member Mead asked if the Board approved the demolition, what would the procedures be to determine whether or not the structure could be salvaged or moved. Historic Preservation Planner Harding advised if the demolition was approved today, the structure would not be able to be demolished until final approval for what would take its place. Chairperson Quina stated although charming, the site was underutilized and was not consistent with other buildings around it. It was determined it was built as an accessory structure and through the Escambia County Property Appraiser that it had been a carport, with other information coming from the applicant. Board Member Villegas asked if it could be raised and moved to a different property, why couldn't that apply to raise and renovate. Board Member Mead explained that the current structure might not meet current codes structurally, and if moved intact, it might be saved under different guidelines. Advisor Pristera stated he could not establish the structure older than the

**Conceptual Approval** 

'60s. He pointed out it had great detail and was good on that square, but other structures around it were two-story, and he loved the option of moving it. Board Member Mead made a motion to approve the demolition with the condition that the applicant continues to seek opportunities for removal and salvaging the existing structure and demolition only be approved if applicant doesn't find any practicable offers to take the building. Board Member Crawford seconded the motion. With no speakers, the motion carried 4 to 1 with Board Member Salter dissenting.

### Item 7

### 314 S. Alcaniz Street

### PHD HC-1/Wood Cottages District

### Action taken: Denied without prejudice.

Scott Holland is seeking *CONCEPTUAL* approval for a new two-story, single-family residence. Mr. Holland presented to the Board and stated he intended to use reinforced concrete walls to achieve his 200mph wind load. It would be a net zero home with geothermal insulation with solar panels on the roof. He explained he had not really detailed out all the materials and was expecting to return with a revised version of his plans.

Board Member Salter stated it was a nice modern interpretation especially with the streetscape which would fit in that area nicely. However, he felt the back carport area with the pyramid up to a point did not relate to the style of the building. Board Member Crawford stated the form was traditional and gives visual separation from the office. It had a nice scale on the sidewalk, but he expected the evaluation to be in the details and how they would be treated. He agreed the garage opening was curious. Board Member Mead was less concerned with the garage since it would be behind the stair tower. He was not keen on the window canopies with the legs on the struts being quite long and thought they should be shorter and be brought up to the body of the house with a more bracket feature. He pointed out the asymmetric rhythm that would fight with the asymmetry of the materials; he was not clear on the stair tower and how it functioned in the overall composition.

Advisor Pristera wanted the return presentation to show the elevation with the office to see the relationship; he was fine with the main façade, but materials were important, and he did not want it to be heavy and overpowering. Board Member Crawford explained there was a fine line between looking residential and commercial, but it would develop in how all those details interfaced. Mr. Mead addressed the upstairs terrace mimicking the porch profile; he felt they could make the balcony look more loggia with it attached to the house. **Board Member Mead made a motion to deny without prejudice with the comments provided and looked forward to the next presentation. Board Member Villegas seconded the motion, and with no speakers, the motion carried unanimously.** 

Item 8	200 W. Jackson Street	NHPD
Variances		PR-2)

### Action taken: Tabled to date specific.

James and Michelle English are requesting Variances (2) to decrease the required west side yard setback from 7.5 feet to 2 feet and to increase the maximum allowed rear yard coverage from 25% (625 sf) to 33% (825 sf) to accommodate a detached garage with second-story living quarters. The required rear yard of the property is 25 feet in depth. The proposed two-car garage with second-story living quarters will be located 5 feet from the rear property line, as is allowed by the Ordinance.

Letters from two of the neighbors were provided, however, North Hill's comments were detained because of the cyber security-incident and not furnished to the applicant but were provided at the meeting.

Jim English presented to the Board and advised their ultimate goal was to get as close to the historical image as possible. The hardship issue was with a heritage tree in the rear yard on another lot; they have offered to buy that lot, but were denied, and now they are stuck with a very limited back yard which is now a gravel driveway. They are requesting to place a driveway and garage on the left side. The neighbor on the left side who would be most impacted had no problem with the request. He advised they would not be able to tell the garage was not original to the home. Historic Preservation Planner Harding explained there was a rear yard coverage, so with accessory structures, they could occupy up to 25% of the rear yard coverage which would be 2500 sq. ft. One of the variances requested was up to 33% coverage; they also requested to decrease the required west side yard setback from 7.5 feet to 2 feet for the structure and staircase. Mr. English advised the rear stairs on the existing structure were unsafe, and they wanted to take the structure back to the original without the stairs. It was noted the other neighbor strongly disapproved of the variances. Mr. English explained he understood the objection to be because of too many buildings in a tight place, but the garage could be reduced; he pointed out the neighbor's condo did not even face his building. It was noted the garage was large for the historic district. Board Member Villegas stated her concern was with the excessive variance requests since the garage was very large. Mr. English pointed out that they had two vehicles and no place for storage. He suggested on 104 W. Jackson, they had done almost exactly what he was proposing. They also wanted to make the heritage tree the focal point. Board Member Villegas pointed out the garage structure would be visible from Jackson Street, and it was noted 104 W. Jackson also required variances. Board Member Crawford addressed the depth from the street and suggested reconfiguring the exterior stairs to the rear and minimizing the overhang on the vard side as well as reducing the overall footprint. Board Member Salter was concerned about the side yard setback; the lot coverage was not as big an issue, however the variance criteria is that the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land. With the current configuration, he could see a lot of modifications which could easily be made without the side yard setback. Moving the stairs and reducing the garage would enable them to get close to meeting the side yard setback. Board Member Mead agreed with those modifications and agreed the lot had been reduced but did not think that produced a hardship and could not see a hardship on any other ground. Staff reminded the Board of the responses to either approve, deny, reduce the variances or table the request to a date specific. Senior Planner Statler advised the Board could table the request to the next meeting on January 16, 2020, which would fall within the 30day period and would also allow the applicant to return with elevations and a new site plan which would show what he was proposing based on the conversation today. It was confirmed the submittal needed to be submitted by January 7, 2020. Board Member Mead made a motion to table the request for a resubmission for reconsideration on January 16, 2020. He clarified the motion to also cover Item 9 on January 16<sup>th</sup>. Board Member Crawford seconded the motion. The motion to table then carried unanimously. It was determined the removal of the stairs would also require approval by the Board. Staff explained if the stairs were removed, it would retain the 33%, and if not removed it would be up to a 35% rear yard coverage. Board Member Mead made a motion to approve the removal of the stairs based on their condition, seconded by Board Member Crawford, and the motion carried unanimously.

Item 9200 W. Jackson StreetNHPDContributing StructurePR-2Action taken:Tabled under Item 8

### Item 10

### 121 S. Palafox Place

### Contributing Structure

### Action taken: Approved with comments.

Scott Sallis, Dalrymple Sallis Architecture, is requesting approval for exterior modifications to the southern rear portion of a contributing structure. This request was denied in October 2019 due to concerns of the existing architecture and the overall concept of the building's future development.

Mr. Sallis presented to the Board and stated their request was to modify the existing wall with new window assemblies which will make more sense with the full development of the second floor and open rooftop garden. The existing tenants would not shut down during this renovation. He indicated the structure would look quite awkward for a while. Board Member Salter had a concern with the modification of the building and nothing else happening for decades; the second phase would require modification to the first phase. He asked if there was something that would make the building look intentional and less awkward during the transitional phase. Chairperson Quina was not as concerned since it was not a streetscape façade. Mr. Sallis indicated they were under contract, and engineers were engaged to make the big picture happen and expected construction to begin the summer of 2020. Board Member Salter suggested stripping down the block columns to steel tubes to match the front. Mr. Sallis agreed with that suggestion. Board Member Crawford agreed it was not on a primary street and made a motion to approve with the additional requirement that the existing EFIS column covers be replaced with metal composite to match the Palafox Street side. Board Member Villegas seconded the motion. Mr. Sallis asked if they could paint the metal tubes. Board Member Mead suggested the motion be modified to round columns or covers at 8" and it was accepted; the motion then carried unanimously.

Items 11

### 42 E. Garden Street

PHBD C-2A

### Contributing Structure Action taken: Approved.

Scott Sallis, Dalrymple Sallis Architecture, is requesting approval for exterior modifications to a contributing structure. The scope of work will include the installation of a storefront which will include fiberglass double doors and clad-wood transom windows, a metal frame and steel planter for a green wall, a casement window, a replacement metal canopy, and a steel perforated panel for an illuminated sign. The exterior plaster will also be removed to expose the existing front elevation brick. The sign details and emblem will be submitted at a later date by Abbreviated Review. Also, this request is for the streetscape elements only. The proposed modifications to the rear of the structure will be submitted to the ARB in the near future.

Mr. Sallis presented to the Board and stated the canopies would be pre-engineered metal with an interior drainage system and likened them to the Krispy Kreme canopy; they are more reliable and more affordable than the custom made canopies. They intended to use Jasmine for the green wall which would be anchored 2" off the wall. Mr. Sallis advised the finish of the wall where the doors, transoms and sign would be placed would be a solid body stained similar to Old Hickory Whiskey Bar. The wall behind the sign was brick masonry. He also indicated the façade was plastered in the '50s-'60s era and would be removed easily. **Board Member Crawford made a motion to approve as submitted, seconded by Board Member Villegas.** With no speakers, the motion then carried unanimously.

### Item 12 Variance

### 600 BLK N. Davis Highway, Lot 1

OEHPD OEHC-2

### Action taken: Approved.

Nannette Chandler has submitted Variance applications for the development of three (3) single family residences on individual lots. All of the Variance requests are nearly identical – to reduce one side setback from five (5) feet to three (3) feet to accommodate a new single family residence with a driveway on the opposite side to provide off-street parking. For the north and south properties, the requested setback reduction is on the left side (south). For the middle property, the request is on the right (north). Although the parent parcel is currently 90 feet in width, parcel history will allow for the re-division into the original three (3) lots which were 30 feet in width. This item is under consideration with Items 15-17, the *aesthetic* review of the proposed residences.

Ms. Chandler presented to the Board and advised she had to meet with FDOT who is unfamiliar with the historic district and had requested an access road. She obtained final approval with the driveway spacing at 265'. Chairperson Quina indicated Old East Hill was supportive and had a question about the finished floor elevation; it was determined to be 24". Senior Planner Statler explained currently, it is one parcel which consists of three original platted lots, and if they divide it, it must conform. She clarified that the lots were legally combined into one parcel. She also indicated there was no issue with dividing the lots involving 5' setbacks on each side of the house, which would not permit a driveway, and there is no street side parking on that side of the road and no availability for off street parking unless you park in front of the house. By moving over 3' they could park the vehicles behind the house. Board Member Mead indicated that if the legals preserved the description by town lot on the Thomas C. Watson, his view was that those lots were designed without the current zoning in place which would cause the hardship; the hardship is defined by Code with what would have been Lots of Record. Board Member Mead stated he would grant the variance on the grounds that this hardship was created by a subsequent legal change that does not subsequently affect the use of the property as contemplated originally and when they were made the town lots that they are returning to, and this is the most effective minimal variance required in order to accomplish that objective and otherwise satisfies the requirements of the variance Code. Board Member Crawford seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

Item 13	600 BLK N. Davis Highway,	OEHPD
Variance	Lot 2	OEHC-2

Action taken: Approved.

Board Member Mead stated he would grant the variance on the grounds that this hardship was created by a subsequent legal change that does not subsequently affect the use of the property as contemplated originally and when they were made the town lots that they are returning to, and this is the most effective minimal variance required in order to accomplish that objective and otherwise satisfies the requirements of the variance Code. Board Member Crawford seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

Item 14	600 BLK N. Davis Highway,	OEHPD
Variance	Lot 3	OEHC-2
Action taken: Approved.		

Board Member Mead stated he would grant the variance on the grounds that this hardship was created by a subsequent legal change that does not subsequently affect the use of the property as contemplated originally and when they were made the town

lots that they are returning to, and this is the most effective minimal variance required in order to accomplish that objective and otherwise satisfies the requirements of the variance Code. Board Member Crawford seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

### Item 15600 BLK N. Davis Highway,New ConstructionLot 1

OEHPD OEHC-2

### Action taken: Approved with abbreviated review.

Nannette Chandler is seeking approval for three (3) single family residences on individual lots. The houses will be similar in nature with exception of paint palettes and front elevations. Staff provided a "Master" packet for the overall projects since some materials will be used on all three structures. All three will have a similar site plan with covered front porches facing N. Davis Highway, concrete driveways along the side, and space for future one-car garages in the rear. All three residences will also have Hardie siding, shingle roofs, vinyl windows, and wooden front doors. Also, a 6' wood privacy fence will separate each of the three lots. It was determined that if the future landowners wanted garages, they would return to the Board for approval.

Ms. Chandler presented to the Board and stated most buyers want garages. Board Member Mead clarified that the garages were covered as a part of the approved variances. He addressed the windows on the northern most portion of the structures, with windows facing windows on two very close structures. Ms. Chandler stated if you look at the north and south sides, most of the windows do not directly line up and most are staggered. She suggested the window placement could easily be modified. Board Member Mead indicated some thought needed to go into the windows for an abbreviated review because it affected both structures. Ms. Chandler stated with the windows in general, they had the SDL grid on the outside of the window providing the simulated divided lite look so they look as if all the window panes are separate. Because they were using Hardie Board for maintenance purposes, the trim around the windows will be a thicker 1 1/4" to resemble the old trim wood.

Board Member Crawford commented on Lot 2 with four windows and two bays and suggested two sets of French doors for more light. Board Member Villegas questioned the window gridding on the front. Ms. Chandler explained with the front windows, anything under a porch area they were able to use more ornate detail on the trim and not have to worry about water issues. Windows on the sides have a specialized flashing that goes underneath the siding and out on the top of the window, so it can be completely waterproofed, essentially giving a third layer of protection. Under the porch, they can use a crown molding and a specialized topper and not have to use the flashing because there would be no direct rain. On the front they try to use a window sill and crown molding to resemble what it would be traditionally. Board Member Crawford pointed out the grid pattern was 4 over 1 on the front and 2 over 1 on the side. Board Member Mead appreciated the variations between the three structures which was much superior to the cookie-cutter approach. Ms. Chandler confirmed the grid pattern would be 2 over 1 and consistent all around.

Board Member Mead made a motion to approve as submitted and returning to an abbreviated review on the doors, window configuration (grid patterns), on the placement of the French doors and arrangement of the fenestration between the two abutted structures. Board Member Salter seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously. Staff confirmed that the garages would not be affected by the variances since they were accessory structures.

Item 16	600 BLK N. Davis Highway,	OEHPD
New Construction	Lot 2	OEHC-2
Action taken: Approved with	abbreviated review.	

Item 17	600 BLK N. Davis Highway,	OEHPD
New Construction	Lot 3	OEHC-2
Action taken: Approved with	abbreviated review.	

Item 18	530 W. Gadsden Street, A	R-1B
Demolition	and B	Belmont Tract
A		

### Action taken: 60-day Delay both structures.

Per the City of Pensacola's Historic Building Demolition Review Ordinance (Sec. 12-12-5(E)), the above structures have been found to be potentially significant in regards to their historic architecture and association with the Historic Belmont DeVilliers Neighborhood and have been referred to the Full Board for review.

Historic Preservation Planner Harding stated the structures were labeled A and B, but they were on the same property and both proposed to be demolished. Per the City of Pensacola's Historic Building Demolition Review Ordinance (Sec. 12-12-5(E)), the above structures have been found to be potentially significant in regards to their historic architecture and association with the Historic Belmont DeVilliers Neighborhood.

The Board is tasked with determining whether or not these structures meet the criteria for listing in the National Register for Historic Places. He explained the structures had been listed or deemed potentially significant. If eligible and deemed historically significant by those criteria, the Board must determine if the buildings are subject to a demolition delay of no more than 60 days. To determine that a historically significant building is subject to a demolition delay, the ARB must find that in the public interest, it is preferable that the buildings be preserved or rehabilitated rather than demolished. The Ordinance does not stop the demolition process; the only thing the Board could do would be to either say it is not historically significant, and the applicant can apply for the demolition permit. If it is historically significant but not subjected to a demolition delay, the demolition permit may be pulled. If it is historically significant and in the public interest that the buildings be preserved rather than be rehabilitated, the Board could apply a 60-day demolition delay.

Advisor Pristera stated in looking at it, it was different from the other houses, built on a corner with prominence, and had a larger footprint than some of those built at the same time, which might indicate a more important person lived there. It was also architecturally interesting but has had some changes, and the building behind it seemed to be in fair condition. He felt like the community had interest in it, and the Board should at least give the neighborhood a chance to save it.

Mr. Rogers, who was part of the family, advised the Board that the intention was to tear down and rebuild. He also stated more than one person owned the house, and it had been going through probate. Board Member Crawford asked if a 60-day delay would be a hardship, and Chairperson Quina explained the Board wanted to give others the opportunity for buying or relocating the house. The way the Ordinance was written, it would require a two-month delay which would allow for those things to happen; it would delay the demolition but not deny it. Mr. Rogers asked that it be delayed. Board Member Mead noted with multiple owners, the probate could take some time, and a 60-day delay should not impact the family, and Mr. Rogers agreed. **Board Member Mead made a motion for the 1926 structure on the corner to find it historically significant, and a delay in demolition should be accorded that structure based upon its architectural details, period and prominence in the neighborhood and its** 

### overall construction during that period of the '20s. The motion was seconded by Mr. Salter, and it carried unanimously.

Board Member Mead asked for more details on the smaller structure. Mr. Rogers indicated it was old with wood rot. Since he was not here, he did not know the purpose of the structure. Mr. Pristera advised this structure was more typical of what you would find in the neighborhood, not architecturally as important, but they were disappearing in Belmont DeVilliers. Board Member Mead pointed out there were enough samples in the vernacular sense that they were not losing significant fabric if they lose this one. Given its condition and not being used, he did not feel strongly about this structure. Staff clarified that the motion from the Board would be to find this structure was not historically significant and not in the public's interest to delay for 60 days. Board Member Villegas verified the two structures were on the same lot and belonged to the same family. She felt it was hard to make a motion when the properties were in probate. Board Member Mead was not as comfortable on this structure with regard to its significance. Staff stated if it was significant, it would be in the public interest to be preserved or rehabilitated rather than demolished. Board Member Mead explained the decision was to determine if the building was historic and then if it was significant. He made a motion to find that this structure is also historically significant and requires further review for the Board to come to any conclusion for demolition and moved that it be subject to the same delay. The motion was seconded by Board Member Villegas and carried unanimously.

### <u>DISCUSSION</u> – Notification of special meeting to be held on Friday, January 10, 2020, at 10 am to tour and inspect 412 E. Belmont Street (Old East Hill).

Historic Preservation Planner Harding notified the Board of a special meeting Friday, January 10, 2020, at 10 am to inspect the exterior and interior of 412 E. Belmont Street (Old East Hill), specifically evaluating the stairs and second story relating to the requested elevation of the roof.

**ADJOURNMENT** – With no further business, the meeting adjourned at 5:06 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Galt

Historic Preservation Planner Harding Secretary to the Board



### Architectural Review Board

### MEMORANDUM

TO:	Architectural Review Board Members
FROM:	${}^{GH}$ Gregg Harding, Historic Preservation Planner
DATE:	January 8, 2020
SUBJECT:	New Business - Item 1 1304 N. Barcelona Street NHPD / PR-1AAA Contributing Structure

### BACKGROUND

Scott Sallis, Dalrymple Sallis Architecture, is requesting approval for modifications to a recently approved project. This overall project was approved by the Board in September 2019 and an Abbreviated Review for the gate element was approved in October 2019. Per the client's request, the scope of work has been slightly reduced. The current plans show changes to the fence along Lloyd Street, replacement of the pool house and covered drive with a new pergola and fire pit, a redesign to the rear porch addition and roof, and minor hardscape and landscape changes to the new garden area. To date, there have been no changes to any of the proposed materials, products, or paint colors which were approved during the last submittal.

Please find attached all relevant documentation for your review.

### RECOMMENDED CODE SECTIONS


Sec. 12-2-10(B)(5) NHPD, Regulations and guidelines for any development within the preservation district

Sec. 12-2-10(B)(6) NHPD, Restoration, rehabilitation, alterations or additions to existing contributing structures in the North Hill preservation district


### 222 West Main Street Pensacola, Florida 32502 www.cityofpensacola.com

51A1E OF FLO CLEARTMENT OF		R SITE FILE	
ers Locot Archives and Hercords Mana		y Form FDAHRM	802 = =
12.12 (2.262.6	Hev. 3.79	Site No. ES 412	, 1009 = =
Site Name Wrig	ght/Touart House	830 =  Survey Date $800$	
Address of Site:	1304 N. Barcelona St.	Pensacola. Fl. 32501	905 = =
Instruction for loc	ating on NE corner of	N. Barcelona and W.Lloy	yd <u>St.</u> 813 = =
Location: Be	elmont Tract 1 subdivision name bloc	731=6	868 = =
County: Esca		ík nö. Tot nö.	808 = =
Owner of Site: N	lame: Grissett, James I	and Myrna G.	j
Address:	1304 N. Barcelona	St.	902 = =
Type of Owners	Pensacola, Fl. 32 Ship Private		832 = =
Recorder:			
	: <u>Gantzhorn</u> , Alan; Gue	dez, Susan	<u>1</u>
Address:	HPPB		818 = =
Condition of Site:		Original Use Residence	838 = =
Check One	Check One or More	Dresset Use Dreiderer	850 = =
c	# 💭 Altered 858 = #	Present Use <u>Residence</u> Dates: Beginning +1910	844 = =
<b>G</b> Good 863 = .	= Unaltered 858 = =	Culture/Phase American	840 = =
Fair 863 -	= Driginal Site 858 = =	Period 20th Century	845 = =
Determated 863 =	= [1] Restored ( _) (Date: _)( _)858 = =		
	Moved ( ) (Date: )( ) 858 = -		040
	Category: District		916 = =
Threats to Site:	k One or More	•	
Zuning ji		Transportation ( )(	)( 878 = =
Gevelopment (		<sup>*</sup> F <sub>1</sub> II(_)(	n ) 878 = =
Deterioration ( )(		Dredge (	<u>)</u> ( <sub>1</sub> 878 = =
Borrowing ( ) (	<u>)(</u> )878 = =		
Other (See Remarks		878 = =	
Areas of Signific	cance: Architecture, Hi	story	910 = =
	-	-	1
Significance.			l l
Α.	oom1		_
	early example of an e		\$
	is constructed by Emily Wright, a lumber merc		965
-	se was owned by Mitchel		÷ (
	t Exporting Co. Touar		
	a family whose ties to		
back to	the Second Spanish Per	iod.	
		CEP CIMP NI	
		SEE SITE FILE S	STAFF FOR
		ORIGINAL PHOTO	$(\mathbf{S})$ UK MAP(S)
		311	J


ARCHITECT Unknown	872 = =
BUILDER Unknown	874 = =
STYLE AND/OR PERIOD Bungalow	964 = =
PLAN TYPE Irregular	966 ⇒ ⇒
EXTERIOR FABRIC(S) Stucco	854 = =
STRUCTURAL SYSTEM(S) Wood frame	856 = =
PORCHESW/ 1 story shed with stuccoed piers & turned ballus	
5 bay, W access#N 1 story carriage porch	942 = =
	942 = =
FOUNDATION: Continuous: brick, stuccoed, scored	
ROOF TYPE: hip (truncated)	942 = =
SECONDARY ROOF STRUCTURE(S): gable dormers N&S#hip dormer W	942 = =
CHIMNEY LOCATION: All have been removed	942 = =
WINDOW TYPE DHS, 5/1 patterned, wood; grouped in dormers	942 = =
CHIMNEY:	882 = =
ROOF SURFACING: Composition shingle: butt	882 = =
ORNAMENT EXTERIOR:	882 = =
NO. OF CHIMNEYS 0 $952 = NO. OF STORIES 1 1/2$	950 = =
NO. OF DORMERS 3	954 = =
Map Reference (incl. scale & date) USGS 7.5 MIN Pensacola 1970	
North Hill, Gordon Richmond, 1974	809 = =
Latitude and Longitude:	
	= = 008
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT 1	833 = =



Contact Print



### 1304 N. Barcelona Street





September 2019

Approval

### PLANNING SERVICES

### Architectural Review Board

### MEMORANDUM

TO:	<b>C</b>	Architectural Review Board Members
FROM:	GH	Gregg Harding, Historic Preservation Planner
DATE:		September 11, 2019
SUBJECT:		New Business - Item 9 1304 N. Barcelona Street NHPD / PR-1AAA Contributing Structure

### BACKGROUND

Scott Sallis, Dalrymple Sallis Architecture, is requesting approval for exterior modifications and additions to a contributing structure. The proposed plans include a new roof and rear porch addition to the main residence, the construction of a new pool house a pool on the south side yard, the installation of a pergola and new garden area in the rear, and landscape, hardscape, and fencing additions. The new metal roof will match the existing and the added porch will be built to blend with the main residence. The proposed pool house will also match the main residence with stucco-applied walls, a standing seam metal roof, and composite molding. All new and replacement windows will be divided lite and "Stormy" blue-painted wood clad to match the existing. Exterior porch doors will be fiberglass with full view glass panels and will be painted "Juniper Blue". Additionally, a French Gothic wood privacy fence will be erected along the rear property line and metal fencing will be installed along the south side yard facing Lloyd Street. Additional landscape and hardscape features include new concrete pavers, a concrete pool area, and planter beds.

Please find attached all relevant documentation for your review.

### **RECOMMENDED CODE SECTIONS**


Sec. 12-2-10(B)(5) NHPD, Regulations and guidelines for any development within the preservation district

Sec. 12-2-41 Yard requirements (specifically subsection (A)(c) showing project/porch stairs in compliance with rear setback)






VICINITY MAP




SITE MAP



### ADDITION OF SWIMMING POOL WITH SPA AND POOL HOUSE, ELEVATED DECK ATTACHED TO EXISTING RESIDENCE AND





FEMA FLOOD MAP

### **BUILDING DATA**

APPLICABLE CODES: 2017 FLORIDA BUILDING CODE, RESIDENTIAL FLORIDA FIRE PREVENTION CODE, 6TH EDITION

CONSTRUCTION TYPE: TYPE VB: UNPROTECTED, UNSPRINKLERED

OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATION: RESIDENTIAL (PR-1AAA) - SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING UNIT

ZONED: PR - 1AAA

FLOOD ZONE: Zone X



### PHYSICAL PROPERTIES: POOL HOUSE (UNCONDITIONED): PERGOLA STRUCTURE REAR PORCH

BUILDING HEIGHT: NO. OF STORIES


568 SF 144 SF <u>331 SF</u> 1,043 SF 18'-1"

### **GENERAL NOTES**


- TO THE BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE. THESE DRAWINGS COMPLY WITH THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS OF THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE, 2017 EDITION CONTRACTOR TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS OF THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE AND ALL OTHER APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL CODES, STANDARDS REGULATIONS AND LAWS. ALL REFERENCED STANDARDS REFER TO THE EDITION IN FORCE AT THE TIM THESE ARE ISSUED. CONTRACTOR TO REVIEW ALL CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, DIMENSIONS AND SITI CONDITIONS AND COORDINATE WITH FIELD DIMENSIONS AND PROJECT SHOP DRAWINGS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES IN WRITING TO ARCHITECT, DO NOT CHANGE SIZE OR DIMENSIONS OF STRUCTURAL WITHOUT WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE ARCHITECT OF RECORI ANY DISCREPANCIES, OMISSIONS OR VARIATIONS NOTED IN THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS OR DISCOVERED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL B COMMUNICATED IN WRITING TO THE ARCHITECT FOR HIS REVIEW. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ASSUMPTIONS OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS NOT VERIFIED IN WRITING BY THE ARCHITECT OF RECORD. PROTECT EXISTING FACILITIES, STRUCTURES AND UTILITY LINES FROM AL DAMAGE. EACH CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT HIS WORK, ADJACENT PROPERTY AND THE PUBLIC. EACH CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR DAMAGE OR INJURY DUE TO HIS ACT OR NEGLECT. THE CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR JOB SAFETY AND CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS; USE DIMENSIONS DETAILS LABELED "TYPICAL DETAILS" ON THE DRAWINGS APPLY TO ALL SITUATIONS THAT ARE THE SAME OR SIMILAR TO THOSE SPECIFICALLY DETAILED. SUCH DETAILS APPLY WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE KEYED IN AT EACH LOCATION. QUESTIONS REGARDING APPLICABILITY OF TYPICAL DETAILS SHALL BE RESOLVED BY THE
- ARCHITECT PRODUCT SUBSTITUTION SHALL BE MADE SUBJECT TO FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE CRITERIA NOTED HEREON, ANY SUCH SUBSTITUTION SHALL BE SUBJECT TO PRIOR APPROVAL BY THE DESIGN PROFESSIONAL AND THE LOCAL BUILDING AUTHORIT HAVING JURISDICTION.
- PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE WORK, PROVIDE THE ARCHITECT WITH A PROPOSED SUBMITTAL SCHEDULE. ALLOW, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSINESS DAYS FO FACH SUBMITTAL REVIEW NO EXTENSION OF THE CONTRACT TIME OR INCREASE I THE CONTRACT SUM WILL BE AUTHORIZED BECAUSE OF FAILURE TO TRANSMIT SUBMITTALS ENOUGH IN ADVANCE OF THE WORK TO PERMIT PROCESSING INCLUDING RESUBMITTALS.
- COMPLY WITH 2017 FBC, RESIDENTIAL EDITION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RESIDENTIAL WORK OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 FOR COMMERCIAL WORK REGARDING OPENING PROTECTION FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIES ONLY, OPTION TO PROVIDE FLORIDA PRODUCT APPROVED IMPACT RESISTANT GLAZING PRODUCT, OR WIND LOAD APPROVED WINDOWS PROTECTED WITH FLORIDA PRODUCT APPROVED OPENING PROTECTION SYSTEM IF LATTER OPTION IS USED, PROVIDE (2) COPIES OF MARKED INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS FOR ANCHOR SIZE, SPACING, MOUNTING TYPE, ETC.

Ν	AREA	

	INDEX OF DRAWINGS	
Sheet Number	Sheet Title	Rev. #
General	-	
G001	TITLE SHEET	
Civil		
C101	SITE PLAN	
Architectural		
A101	NEW WORK FLOOR PLAN	
A201	EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS	
A202	EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS	
A203	POOL HOUSE ELEVATIONS	
A701	3D EXTERIOR PERSPECTIVE VIEWS	
A702	3D EXTERIOR PERSPECTIVE VIEWS	



CERTIFICAT	ecture nent St. 32502 3399 397 .com 85 DRIGINAL AND THE ARCHITECT CATED IN ANY CONSENT OF CIPALS
PRICING WcKean Pool and Spa	1304 N. BARCELONA ST.
DRAWN BY: CH SRJ ISSUE DATE: 08/28/19 REVISIONS: No. Desc. SHEET TITLE: TITLE SI	IECKED BY: JSS Date
SHEET NO: GOO PROJECT NO: 19007	



# 00

## September 2019 Approval

NEW CONC. PAVERS ON SAND BED

NEW CONC. PAVERS ON SAND BED

NEW COVERED DRIVEWAY

NEW CONC. PAVERS ON SAND BED

McKean

CHECKED BY DRAWN BY: SRJ JSS ISSUE DATE: 08/28/19 REVISIONS No. Des. Date

SHEET TITLE:

SITE PLAN

SHEET NO:

**C101 project no:** 19007

Spa and 00 

dalrymple | salli architecture

503 E. Government St. Pensacola, FL 32502 v: 850-470-6399 f: 850-470-6397

www.dalsal.com AR 0016385

THIS DOCUMENT SHOWS ORIGINAL AND UN-PUBLISHED WORK OF THE ARCHITECT AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED IN ANY PART WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE FIRM'S PRINCIPALS

CERTIFICATION

**PRICING SET** 

ST. C E E Ŕ B Ż

304



### Architectural Review Board Application Abbreviated Review

				A	pplication	n Date:	0/2019
Project Address:	1304 N. E	arcelona S	Street				
Applicant:	Dalrymp	e Sallis Ar	chitectur	e			
Applicant's Address:	503 E. Ge	overnment	Street, P	ensacola,	Florida 3	2502	
Email:	scott@	dalsal.co	om		Ph	one: 850-47	70-6399
Property Owner:	Chris and	d Kathy Mo	Kean		143 H.	4	
District:	рно		NHPD 🔽	(If different OEHF	from Appli	cant) PHBD	GCD
There is a \$25 Application	n Fee for t	he followin	g project (	ypes:			
Change of Paint Co		Body: Trim: Accent: Sign Type: Dimension					
Minor Deviation to Approved Project / of Roofing Materia	Change	Colors: Description ARB requ			4	the gate el RB Meeting	lement only per g
			(Office L	lse)			
This request was reviewed ARB Secretary Sign This request was reviewed	hature	oved by the f			he Archite		ale
Architect Signatu	20	10 ~) Date	0-19	Comment	s:		

Planning Services 222 W. Main Street \* Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 435-1670 Mail to: P.O. Box 12910 \* Pensacola, Florida 32521



October 2019 Approval

V 850.470.6399 F 850.470.6397 www.dalsal.com

### Memorandum 001

Date: Wednesday, October 09, 2019

Project: McKean Pool and Spa 1304 North Barcelona St, Pensacola, FL

**Recipient:** Gregg Harding, Historic Preservation Planner | (850) 435-1676 | gharding@cityofpensacola.com

Gregg,

Upon request from our previous meeting with the ARB board concerning the project Mckean Pool and Spa (specifically the gate), the following document is being submitted for abbreviated Review.


Thank you,

Inadia Rassoul f.




MCKEAN POOL AND SPA GATE-ABBREV.REVIEW | 10-09-19

### October 2019 Approval



GATE - PLAN VIEW





Architectural Review Board Application Full Board Review

	_	12-19-2019
Application	Date:	

Project Address:	1304 N. Barcelo	ona St.			
Applicant:	Dalrymple Salli	is Architecture			
Applicant's Address:	503 E. Governn	nent Street, Pens	sacola, Florida 3	2502	
Email:	scott@dals	sal.com	Pł	none: 850-470-63	99
Property Owner:	Chris and Kathy Mckean				
			f different from Appl	icant)	
District:	PHD	NHPD 🖌	OEHPD	PHBD	GCD
Application is hereby m	ade for the proje	ct as described he	erein:		
✓ Residential Hon	nestead – \$50.00	hearing fee			
Commercial/Ot	her Residential –	\$250.00 hearing	fee		

\* An application shall be scheduled to be heard once all required materials have been submitted and it is deemed complete by the Secretary to the Board. You will need to include eleven (11) copies of the required information. Please see pages 3 - 4 of this application for further instruction and information.

Project specifics/description:

Per owner request, the scope of work has been reduce from previous submittal. Construction of a new fence work, a new porch in rear of residence; a new swimming pool and spa with new pergola structure. All materials are to match existing where possible.

I, the undersigned applicant, understand that payment of these fees does not entitle me to approval and that no refund of these fees will be made. I have reviewed the applicable zoning requirements and understand that I must be present on the date of the Architectural Review Board meeting.


Applicant Signature

Planning Services 222 W. Main Street \* Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 435-1670 Mail to: P.O. Box 12910 \* Pensacola, Florida 32521







VICINITY MAP



SITE MAP



### ADDITION OF SWIMMING POOL WITH SPA AND POOL PERGOLA, ELEVATED DECK ATTACHED TO EXISTING RESIDENCE AND



FEMA FLOOD MAP

CONSTRUCTION TYPE: TYPE VB: UNPRO OCCUPANCY CLASSIFIC RESIDENTIAL (P) ZONED: PR - 1AAA FLOOD ZONE: Zone X PHYSICAL PROPERTIES POOL HOUSE (U PERGOLA STRU REAR PORCH TOTAL: BUILDING HEIGH NO. OF STORIES <b>ENERAL N</b> TO THE BEST OF OUR APPLICABLE REQUIRE CONTRACTOR TO COM	R-1AAA) - SINGLE FA <u>:</u> NCONDITIONED): CTURE IT: ::			dalrymple archite 503 E. Governm Pensacola, FL	tectu
OCCUPANCY CLASSIFIC RESIDENTIAL (P ZONED: PR - 1AAA FLOOD ZONE: Zone X PHYSICAL PROPERTIES POOL HOUSE (U PERGOLA STRU REAR PORCH TOTAL: BUILDING HEIGH NO. OF STORIES <b>ENERAL N</b> TO THE BEST OF OUR APPLICABLE REQUIRE CONTRACTOR TO COM	ATION: R-1AAA) - SINGLE FA NCONDITIONED): CTURE	MILY DWELLING UNIT 567 SF 144 SF <u>336 SF</u> 1,047 SF		archit 503 E. Governn Pensacola, FL	tectu
RESIDENTIAL (PI <u>ZONED:</u> PR - 1AAA <u>FLOOD ZONE:</u> Zone X <u>PHYSICAL PROPERTIES</u> POOL HOUSE (U PERGOLA STRU <u>REAR PORCH</u> TOTAL: BUILDING HEIGHNO. OF STORIES <b>ENERAL N</b> TO THE BEST OF OUR APPLICABLE REQUIRE CONTRACTOR TO COM AND ALL OTHER APPLI	R-1AAA) - SINGLE FA <u>:</u> NCONDITIONED): CTURE IT: ::	567 SF 144 SF <u>336 SF</u> 1,047 SF		archit 503 E. Governn Pensacola, FL	tectu
FLOOD ZONE: Zone X PHYSICAL PROPERTIES POOL HOUSE (U PERGOLA STRU REAR PORCH TOTAL: BUILDING HEIGHNO. OF STORIES ENERAL N TO THE BEST OF OUR APPLICABLE REQUIRE CONTRACTOR TO COM AND ALL OTHER APPLI	NCONDITIONED): CTURE IT: S:	144 SF <u>336 SF</u> 1,047 SF		archit 503 E. Governn Pensacola, FL	tectu
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES POOL HOUSE (U PERGOLA STRU <u>REAR PORCH</u> TOTAL: BUILDING HEIGHNO. OF STORIES <b>ENERAL N</b> TO THE BEST OF OUR APPLICABLE REQUIRE CONTRACTOR TO COM	NCONDITIONED): CTURE IT: S:	144 SF <u>336 SF</u> 1,047 SF		archit 503 E. Governn Pensacola, FL	tectu
PERGOLA STRU REAR PORCH TOTAL: BUILDING HEIGH NO. OF STORIES <b>ENERAL N</b> TO THE BEST OF OUR APPLICABLE REQUIRE CONTRACTOR TO COM AND ALL OTHER APPLI	CTURE	144 SF <u>336 SF</u> 1,047 SF		Pensacola, FL	
BUILDING HEIGH NO. OF STORIES ENERAL N TO THE BEST OF OUR APPLICABLE REQUIRE CONTRACTOR TO COM AND ALL OTHER APPLI	<b>:</b>				
NO. OF STORIES ENERAL N TO THE BEST OF OUR APPLICABLE REQUIRE CONTRACTOR TO COM AND ALL OTHER APPLI	<b>:</b>			∨: 850-470-6 f: 850-470-6	6399
TO THE BEST OF OUR APPLICABLE REQUIRE CONTRACTOR TO COM AND ALL OTHER APPLI		1		www.dalsal AR 00163	
CONTRACTOR TO COM AND ALL OTHER APPLI				THIS DOCUMENT SHOWS C UN-PUBLISHED WORK OF T AND MAY NOT BE DUPLIC PART WITHOUT WRITEIN C	THE ARCH CATED IN
	/IPLY WITH REQUIRE CABLE FEDERAL, ST	EMENTS OF THE FLORIDA FATE AND LOCAL CODES,	BUILDING CODE,	THE FIRM'S PRINC	
		THE EDITION IN FORCE A	T THE TIME		
DRAWINGS PRIOR TO ARCHITECT. DO NOT C	CONSTRUCTION. RE CHANGE SIZE OR DIM	PORT ANY DISCREPANCI	ES IN WRITING TO AL MEMBERS		
ANY DISCREPANCIES,	OMISSIONS OR VAR	IATIONS NOTED IN THE CO	ONSTRUCTION		
COMMUNICATED IN WI	RITING TO THE ARCI	HITECT FOR HIS REVIEW.	CONTRACTOR	CERTIFICATI	<u>10n</u>
NOT VERIFIED IN WRIT	ING BY THE ARCHIT	ECT OF RECORD.			
AND THE PUBLIC. EAC	H CONTRACTOR IS S			DEDMIT	<b>C</b>
THE CONTRACTOR IS		LE FOR JOB SAFETY AND	CONSTRUCTION		35
DO NOT SCALE DRAW			ALL SITUATIONS		
THAT ARE THE SAME O APPLY WHETHER OR N	OR SIMILAR TO THOS	SE SPECIFICALLY DETAILE ED IN AT EACH LOCATION.	D. SUCH DETAILS QUESTIONS		
ARCHITECT.			ED BY THE		
CRITERIA NOTED HERI	EON, ANY SUCH SUE				
HAVING JURISDICTION		BSTITUTION SHALL BE SUE	BJECT TO PRIOR		
PRIOR TO COMMENCE	MENT OF THE WOR	BSTITUTION SHALL BE SUB IL AND THE LOCAL BUILDII K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A		
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION	3STITUTION SHALL BE SUE L AND THE LOCAL BUILDI K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN OF THE CONTRACT TIME	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN		
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION VILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH	3STITUTION SHALL BE SUB L AND THE LOCAL BUILDI K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT		
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION VILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. IC, RESIDENTIAL ED FOR COMMERCIAL V	3STITUTION SHALL BE SUB AL AND THE LOCAL BUILDI K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC ITION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN TO TRANSMIT ESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION.	D	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GL	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION WILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. IC, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL W S ONLY, OPTION TO LAZING PRODUCT, C	3STITUTION SHALL BE SUB L AND THE LOCAL BUILDI K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC ITION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN TO TRANSMIT ESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS	Spa	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GI PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS U	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION WILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL ED FOR COMMERCIAL W S ONLY, OPTION TO LAZING PRODUCT, C DRIDA PRODUCT API JSED, PROVIDE (2) C	ASTITUTION SHALL BE SUB AL AND THE LOCAL BUILDI K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC ITION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD WIND LOAD APPROVED	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT CESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	d Spa	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GI PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS U	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION WILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL W S ONLY, OPTION TO LAZING PRODUCT, C DRIDA PRODUCT API JSED, PROVIDE (2) C NCHOR SIZE, SPACI	3STITUTION SHALL BE SUE A AND THE LOCAL BUILDIE K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN I OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC ITION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVED OPENING PROTE COPIES OF MARKED INSTA	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT CESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	nd Spa	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GL PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS U INSTRUCTIONS FOR A	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION WILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL W S ONLY, OPTION TO LAZING PRODUCT, C DRIDA PRODUCT API JSED, PROVIDE (2) C NCHOR SIZE, SPACIN	AND THE LOCAL BUILDI K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC ITION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVIDE FLORIDA PRODE PROVED OPENING PROTE COPIES OF MARKED INSTAN NG, MOUNTING TYPE, ETC	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT CESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	and Spa	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GL PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS U INSTRUCTIONS FOR A	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION WILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL W S ONLY, OPTION TO LAZING PRODUCT, C DRIDA PRODUCT API JSED, PROVIDE (2) C NCHOR SIZE, SPACIN	AND THE LOCAL BUILDI K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC UTION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVED OPENING PROTE COPIES OF MARKED INSTA NG, MOUNTING TYPE, ETC	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT SESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	and	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTAL EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GL PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS U INSTRUCTIONS FOR AI	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION WILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL W S ONLY, OPTION TO LAZING PRODUCT, C DRIDA PRODUCT API JSED, PROVIDE (2) C NCHOR SIZE, SPACIN	AND THE LOCAL BUILDI K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC ITION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVIDE FLORIDA PRODE PROVED OPENING PROTE COPIES OF MARKED INSTAN NG, MOUNTING TYPE, ETC	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT CESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	and	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GL PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS U INSTRUCTIONS FOR AI <b>Sheet</b> <b>Number</b> General G001 TITLL Civil	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION VILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL V S ONLY, OPTION TO AZING PRODUCT, C DRIDA PRODUCT API JSED, PROVIDE (2) C NCHOR SIZE, SPACI INDEX OF	AND THE LOCAL BUILDI K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC ITION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVIDE FLORIDA PRODE PROVED OPENING PROTE COPIES OF MARKED INSTAN NG, MOUNTING TYPE, ETC	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT CESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	Pool and Spa	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTAL EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GL PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS U INSTRUCTIONS FOR AU STRUCTIONS FOR AU General General G001 TITL Civil C101 SITE Architectural	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION WILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL W S ONLY, OPTION TO AZING PRODUCT API JSED, PROVIDE (2) C NCHOR SIZE, SPACIN INDEX OF She E SHEET	AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN A T MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN A OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC TION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVED OPENING PROTE COPIES OF MARKED INSTANG, MOUNTING TYPE, ETC DRAWINGS BRAWINGS	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT CESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	Pool and	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTA EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GL PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS U INSTRUCTIONS FOR AI Sheet Number General G001 TITL Civil C101 SITE Architectural A101 NEW	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION VILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL V S ONLY, OPTION TO AZING PRODUCT, C DRIDA PRODUCT APP JSED, PROVIDE (2) C NCHOR SIZE, SPACI INDEX OF She E SHEET PLAN	AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN A AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN A OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC UTION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVED OPENING PROTE COPIES OF MARKED INSTAN G, MOUNTING TYPE, ETC DRAWING DRAWING DRAWING PLAN	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT CESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	Pool and	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTAL EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GL PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS L INSTRUCTIONS FOR AU STRUCTIONS FOR AU General Goo1 TITLL Civil C101 SITE Architectural A101 NEW A201 EXTE	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION WILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL W S ONLY, OPTION TO AZING PRODUCT API JSED, PROVIDE (2) C NCHOR SIZE, SPACIN INDEX OF She E SHEET	AND THE LOCAL BUILDIN K, PROVIDE THE ARCHITE W, AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC ITION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVIDE FLORIDA PRODE PROVED OPENING PROTE COPIES OF MARKED INSTAN NG, MOUNTING TYPE, ETC DRAWINGS Eet Title PLAN ONS	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT CESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	Pool and	
PRIOR TO COMMENCE PROPOSED SUBMITTAL EACH SUBMITTAL REV THE CONTRACT SUM V SUBMITTALS ENOUGH INCLUDING RESUBMIT COMPLY WITH 2017 FB OR 2017 FBC 1609.1.2 F FOR R-3 OCCUPANCIE IMPACT RESISTANT GL PROTECTED WITH FLC IF LATTER OPTION IS U INSTRUCTIONS FOR AU Sheet Number General G001 TITL Civil C101 SITE Architectural A101 NEW A201 EXTE A202 EXTE A203 POO	MENT OF THE WOR L SCHEDULE. ALLOV IEW. NO EXTENSION VILL BE AUTHORIZE IN ADVANCE OF TH TALS. C, RESIDENTIAL EDI FOR COMMERCIAL V S ONLY, OPTION TO AZING PRODUCT, C DRIDA PRODUCT APP JSED, PROVIDE (2) C NCHOR SIZE, SPACI INDEX OF SONCHOR SIZE, SPACI ESHEET PLAN	AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN A AT MINIMUM, (12) BUSIN A OF THE CONTRACT TIME D BECAUSE OF FAILURE T E WORK TO PERMIT PROC TION R.301.2.1.2 FOR RES VORK REGARDING OPENIN PROVIDE FLORIDA PROD PROVED OPENING PROTE COPIES OF MARKED INSTANG, MOUNTING TYPE, ETC DRAWING TYPE, ETC DRAWING TYPE, ETC PLAN ONS ONS	BJECT TO PRIOR NG AUTHORITY CT WITH A IESS DAYS FOR OR INCREASE IN O TRANSMIT CESSING, IDENTIAL WORK NG PROTECTION. JCT APPROVED WINDOWS CTION SYSTEM. LLATION	and	
	CONDITIONS AND COO DRAWINGS PRIOR TO ( ARCHITECT. DO NOT C WITHOUT WRITTEN INS ANY DISCREPANCIES, ( DOCUMENTS OR DISCO COMMUNICATED IN WF SHALL BE RESPONSIBI NOT VERIFIED IN WRIT PROTECT EXISTING FA DAMAGE. EACH CONTF AND THE PUBLIC. EACH INJURY DUE TO HIS AC THE CONTRACTOR IS S PROCEDURES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWI DETAILS LABELED "TYF THAT ARE THE SAME ( APPLY WHETHER OR N REGARDING APPLICAB ARCHITECT. PRODUCT SUBSTITUTION CRITERIA NOTED HERE APPROVAL BY THE DES	CONDITIONS AND COORDINATE WITH FIEL DRAWINGS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. RE ARCHITECT. DO NOT CHANGE SIZE OR DIM WITHOUT WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS FROM ANY DISCREPANCIES, OMISSIONS OR VAR DOCUMENTS OR DISCOVERED DURING CO COMMUNICATED IN WRITING TO THE ARCH SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ASSUME NOT VERIFIED IN WRITING BY THE ARCHIT PROTECT EXISTING FACILITIES, STRUCTUR DAMAGE. EACH CONTRACTOR SHALL PRO AND THE PUBLIC. EACH CONTRACTOR IS S INJURY DUE TO HIS ACT OR NEGLECT. THE CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIB PROCEDURES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS; USE DIMENSIO DETAILS LABELED "TYPICAL DETAILS" ON THAT ARE THE SAME OR SIMILAR TO THOS APPLY WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE KEYE REGARDING APPLICABILITY OF TYPICAL D	<ul> <li>CONDITIONS AND COORDINATE WITH FIELD DIMENSIONS AND PROJ DRAWINGS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIE ARCHITECT. DO NOT CHANGE SIZE OR DIMENSIONS OF STRUCTURA WITHOUT WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE ARCHITECT OF RECO ANY DISCREPANCIES, OMISSIONS OR VARIATIONS NOTED IN THE CO DOCUMENTS OR DISCOVERED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE I COMMUNICATED IN WRITING TO THE ARCHITECT FOR HIS REVIEW. O SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ASSUMPTIONS OF CONSTRUCTION NOT VERIFIED IN WRITING BY THE ARCHITECT OF RECORD.</li> <li>PROTECT EXISTING FACILITIES, STRUCTURES AND UTILITY LINES FF DAMAGE. EACH CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT HIS WORK, ADJACE AND THE PUBLIC. EACH CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FO INJURY DUE TO HIS ACT OR NEGLECT.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR JOB SAFETY AND PROCEDURES.</li> <li>DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS; USE DIMENSIONS.</li> </ul>	PROTECT EXISTING FACILITIES, STRUCTURES AND UTILITY LINES FROM ALL DAMAGE. EACH CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT HIS WORK, ADJACENT PROPERTY AND THE PUBLIC. EACH CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR DAMAGE OR INJURY DUE TO HIS ACT OR NEGLECT. THE CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR JOB SAFETY AND CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS; USE DIMENSIONS. DETAILS LABELED "TYPICAL DETAILS" ON THE DRAWINGS APPLY TO ALL SITUATIONS	CONDITIONS AND COORDINATE WITH FIELD DIMENSIONS AND PROJECT SHOP DRAWINGS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES IN WRITING TO ARCHITECT. DO NOT CHANGE SIZE OR DIMENSIONS OF STRUCTURAL MEMBERS WITHOUT WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE ARCHITECT OF RECORD. ANY DISCREPANCIES, OMISSIONS OR VARIATIONS NOTED IN THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS OR DISCOVERED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IMMEDIATELY COMMUNICATED IN WRITING TO THE ARCHITECT FOR HIS REVIEW. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ASSUMPTIONS OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS NOT VERIFIED IN WRITING BY THE ARCHITECT OF RECORD. PROTECT EXISTING FACILITIES, STRUCTURES AND UTILITY LINES FROM ALL DAMAGE. EACH CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT HIS WORK, ADJACENT PROPERTY AND THE PUBLIC. EACH CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR DAMAGE OR INJURY DUE TO HIS ACT OR NEGLECT. THE CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR JOB SAFETY AND CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS; USE DIMENSIONS.

- SHEET NO.

DETAIL REFERENCES

DETAIL NO.

3 350 SHEET NO.

DETAIL NO.

SHEET NO.

DETAIL NO. EXTERIOR ELEVATION 고 한 REFERENCE

DETAIL REFERENCE

BUILDING SECTION REFERENCE

REVISI	ONS:	
No.	Desc.	

Date

SHEET TITLE:

DOOR NUMBER REFERENCE

WINDOW TYPE

CENTERLINE

4

Room name ROOM TAG

REVISION NUMBER REFERENCE

REVISION NO.

DATUM ELEVATION

COLUMN GRID NUMBER

(11'-8") CEILING HEIGHT - ABOVE FIN. FLOOR





SHEET NO:




PUBLIC RV 50'


STREET 4 N O ARCE M Ż









**project no**: 19007
















Top of Wall 20'-0" Second Floor 17'-8" TO.POOL PERGOLA 11'-0"	CERTIFICATION
<u>— First Floor</u> 6' - 1"	PERMIT SET
GRADE 0"	
$\frac{\text{Top of Wall}}{20^{\circ} - 0^{\circ}} \bigoplus$ Second Floor 17' - 8"	<b>McKean Pool and Spa</b> 1304 N. BARCELONA ST.
@FINAL CO	DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY: SRJ JSS
NEW 6'8" FRENCH GOTHIC PT.     WOOD FENCE     First Floor     6' - 1"	ISSUE DATE: 12/17/19 REVISIONS No. Des. Date
- NEW FOAM BAND W/ STUCCO COATING - NEW PAVERS ON SAND BED INTS TO	SHEET TITLE: EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS SHEET NO:
	<b>A201</b> PROJECT NO: 19007





































### 2 VIEW OF POOL PERGOLA B







McKean Pool and Spa

1304 N. BARCELONA ST.


DRAWN BY:CHECKED BY:SRJJSSISSUE DATE:12/17/19REVISIONS<br/>No.Date

SHEET TITLE: 3D EXTERIOR PERSPECTIVE VIEWS

SHEET NO:



NOTE: ALL 3D VIEWS ARE CONCEPTUAL AND NOT CONSIDERED CONTRACT DOCUMENTS



V 850.470.6399 F 850.470.6397 www.dalsal.com



Date: Thursday, December 19, 2019

Project: McKean Pool and Spa 1304 N. BARCELONA ST. Pensacola, FL 32502

Recipient: Architectural Review Board – City of Pensacola

### **Existing Site Conditions**



**Rear View of Existing Residence** 



**Rear View of Existing Residence** 



**View of Rear Yard** 



**Side View of Existing Residence** 



503 E. Government St. Pensacola, FL 32502

> V 850.470.6399 F 850.470.6397 www.dalsal.com



**Existing Rear Porch** 



**Existing Side Porch** 



**View of Existing Residence** 



**Existing Side View** 





**Rear Yard View** 



**Rear Yard View** 



**Front Yard View** 



**Rear Yard View** 



V 850.470.6399 F 850.470.6397 www.dalsal.com

# **Exterior Color Schedule – McKean Pool and Spa**

- Date: Thursday, December 19, 2019
- Project: McKean Pool and Spa 1304 N. BARCELONA ST. Pensacola, FL 32502

#### Recipient: Architectural Review Board – City of Pensacola

ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENT	MANUFACTURER	COLOR
Metal Fence	Powdered Coated Alum. (PCA)	"SW 7566 Westhighland White"
Fence Pylons	Stucco on CMU	"SW 7566 Westhighland White" To Match Existing
Wood Fence	Solid Body Stained	"SW 7566 Westhighland White"
Pavers	Belgard (Concrete Pavers)	"LAFITT® GRANA SLAB"
COLUMNS	CI SYSTEM	"SW 7566 Westhighland White"
Porch Railing	Composite	"SW7566 Westhighland White"
Pergola	Solid Body Stained	"SW7566 Westhighland White"
Windows	JELD-WEN	"SW 3014 Juniper blue" To Match Existing
Exterior Porch doors	JELD-WEN	"SW 3014 Juniper blue" To Match Existing
Wood Gate (Barcelona)	Solid Body Stained	"SW 3525 Chestnut"
Wood Fence	Solid Body Stained	"SW7566 Westhighland"
Pergola walls	CI SYSTEM	"SW7566 Westhighland" To Match Existing
Wood Slat wall	Solid Body Stained	"SW 3525 Chestnut"



> V 850.470.6399 F 850.470.6397 www.dalsal.com

## **COLOR - MATERIALS**

## <u>STUCCO</u>

SW 7566 Westhighland White Interior / Exterior Locator Number: 255-C3

## **PAVERS**



#### LAFITT® GRANA SLAB - Belgard

Pairs sleek contemporary style with modern durability and design innovation. These pavers create beautiful patios and walkways that are barefoot friendly.

## **SOLID BODY STAIN**



SW 3014 JUNIPER BLUE



#### SW 3524 CHESTNUT



V 850.470.6399 F 850.470.6397 www.dalsal.com

## PRIVACY FENCE





## METAL FENCE PCA COLOR






> V 850.470.6399 F 850.470.6397 www.dalsal.com

## WINDOW - DIVIDE LITES TO MATCH EXISTING


## SITELINE™ CLAD-WOOD WINDOW: DOUBLE-HUNG POCKET





#### Colors & Finishes

EXTERIOR COLORS





GRILLES BETWEEN THE GLASS

503 E. Government St. Pensacola, FL 32502

> V 850.470.6399 F 850.470.6397 www.dalsal.com



Grilles Between the Glass

Add architectural interest with our divided lite options. Enjoy increased visual appeal and decreased maintenance with our grilles between the glass, which consist of a spacer bar placed between the panes of the insulating glass. They are an ideal choice because they require no upkeep and will not interfere with window cleaning. We offer 5/8° or 7/8° flat grilles, as well as 5/8° or 1° contour grilles in several grille patterns. These grilles are available in the color that matches the exterior color of the patio door you choose.

# DESIGN-PRO™ FIBERGLASS EXTERIOR DOOR: FIR FULL VIEW GLASS PANEL (PAINTED) SW 3014 JUNIPER BLUE





> V 850.470.6399 F 850.470.6397 www.dalsal.com

# PROPOSAL – RENDERS





















#### Architectural Review Board

#### MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:	New Business - Item 2 1 S. Jefferson Street PHBD / C-2A Non-Contributing Structure
DATE:	January 8, 2020
FROM:	GH Gregg Harding, Historic Preservation Planner
TO:	Architectural Review Board Members

#### BACKGROUND


Lissa Dees, Downtown Improvement Board, is requesting approval for a "Puppy Pit Stop" along the south side of the Jefferson Street parking garage. This request is a continuation of approved projects (March and April 2019) to improve the public use and visual elements of the space. The current plans and proposed use of the City's right-ofway has been reviewed by Public Works & Facilities staff with no objections. Modifications include a complete redesign of the landscape and hardscape features, the partial removal of the garage wall, the installation of an awning and seating areas, and the addition of artificial grass, screening, planters and dog park details.

Please find attached all relevant documentation for your review.

#### **RECOMMENDED CODE SECTIONS**

Sec. 12-2-21(2)(a) PHBD, Decision guidelines, Alterations to an existing building Sec. 12-2-21(4)(h) PHBD, Board review standards, Landscaping and screening

#### 1 S. Jefferson Street





Architectural Review Board Application Full Board Review



-----

			Appli	cation Date: 2019.	.12.18
Project Address:	1 S JEFFEF	1 S JEFFERSON ST (SOUTH GARAGE)			
Applicant:	LISSA DEES, E	LISSA DEES, EXEC. DIRECTOR, DOWNTOWN IMPROVEMENT BOARD			
Applicant's Address:	226 S PALA	226 S PALAFOX STREET, SUITE 106			
Email:	lissad@down	townpensac	ola.com	Phone: 850-434	4-5371
Property Owner:	CITY OF PE	ENSACOL	A		
			(If different from	Applicant)	
District:	PHD	NHPD	OEHPD	PHBD	GCD
Application is hereby made for the project as described herein:					

□ Residential Homestead – \$50.00 hearing fee

Commercial/Other Residential - \$250.00 hearing fee

\* An application shall be scheduled to be heard once all required materials have been submitted and it is deemed complete by the Secretary to the Board. You will need to include fourteen (14) copies of the required information. Please see pages 3 - 4 of this application for further instruction and information.

#### Project specifics/description:

The DIB is proposing improvements to the sidewalk and landscape bed at Jefferson St Parking Garage by paint, artificial turf, landscape bed, vertical screens and awnings to create a dog-friendly Puppy Pit Stop. A planting bed with curb & paint on existing asphalt are proposed to serve as corner bump out. All dogs are required to be on leash. Dog waste station, water station and dog toys are proposed on site.

*I, the undersigned applicant, understand that payment of these fees does not entitle me to approval and that no refund of these fees will be made. I have reviewed the applicable zoning requirements and understand that I must be present on the date of the Architectural Review Board meeting.* 

Applicant Signature

2019.12.18

Date

Planning Services 222 W. Main Street \* Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 435-1670 Mail to: P.O. Box 12910 \* Pensacola, Florida 32521

# **City of Pensacola**

Architectural Review Board Application December 19, 2019 Full Board Review

# **Table of Contents**

Site Photo •

- Conceptual Site Rendering Site Plans •
  - Sile Flairs.
  - Product Literature •

# JEFFERSON ST PARKING GARAGE PUPPY PIT STOP

1 S Jefferson Street Pensacola, FL

## SITE IMPROVEMENTS REVIEW Jerry Pate Design





# JEFFERSON STREET PARKING GARAGE PUPPY PIT STOP | EXISTING PHOTO PENSACOLA, I

301 SCHUBERT DRIVE - PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 850-479-4653 WWW.J








PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN PAINT - STREETBOND 120 BY GAF. TERRA COTTA

EXISTING SIDEWALK CONCRETE TO REMAIN



# JEFFERSON STREET PARKING GARAGE PUPPY PIT STOP | SITE RENDERING

SYSTEM

SCREEN

BENCH

AMPHITHEATRE STYLE BENCH

PLANTER WITH VINE GROWING ONTO



RETRACTABLE ARM AWNING





FOOD TRUCK WITH OUTDOOR SEATING



ROUND PLANTER



DOG WATER STATION & PLAY ROCK



Jerry Pate Design

FIRE HYDRANT & DRIFT WOOD



IN GROUND DOG WASTE DISPOSAL





VIEW A ON ROMANA ST

# JEFFERSON STREET PARKING GARAGE PUPPY PIT STOP | SITE RENDERING

PENSACOLA, FLORIDA DECEMBER 2019

301 Schubert Drive - Pensacola, Florida





Jerry Pate Design



VIEW B AT JEFFERSON ST & ROMANA ST CORNER

# JEFFERSON STREET PARKING GARAGE PUPPY PIT STOP | SITE RENDERING PENS

301 Schubert Drive - Pensacola, Florida 850-479-4653 www.j

## PENSACOLA, FLORIDA DECEMBER 2019





# SHEET\_INDEX

SHEET DESCRIPTION

- LS100 COVER PAGE
- LS101 DEMOLITION PLAN
- LS201 LAYOUT PLAN
- LS301 HARDSCAPE PLAN
- LS401 LANDSCAPE PLAN
- LS501 DETAILS
- LS502 DETAILS IR101 IRRIGATION PLAN
- IR201 IRRIGATION DETAILS

В

А

С


# DIB PUPPY PIT STOP Conceptual Landscape Improvements


# PROJECT LOCATION

# 1 S JEFFERSON ST (SOUTH GARAGE) Pensacola, FL 32502




301 Schubert Drive - Pensacola, FL 32504 850-479-4653 WWW.JERRYPATEDESIGN.COM






# REFERENCE\_NOTES\_SCHEDULE


SYMBOL	Demolition DESCRIPTION	QTY	DETAIL
D-101	REMOVE EXISTING TURF & VEGETATION TO DEPTH REQUIRED FOR NEW HARDSCAPE & LANDSCAPE.	1,056 sf	
D-102	REMOVE EXISTING CONCRETE TO DEPTH REQUIRED FOR NEW HARDSCAPE & LANDSCAPE	492 sf	
D-103	REMOVE EXISTING WALL	11 lf	
D-104	REMOVE EXISTING ASPHALT TO DEPTH REQUIRED FOR NEW HARDSCAPE & LANDSCAPE	207 sf	





















SYMBOL	General Sitework DESCRIPTION	QTY	DE
(G-101)	PROPOSED CONCRETE 12"D PLANTER WALL	33 lf	7/L\$
G-102	PROPOSED CONCRETE 18"D SEAT WALL	39 lf	7/L
SYMBOL	Landscape DESCRIPTION	QTY	DE
L-101	PROPOSED PLANTING BED	571 sf	
YMBOL	Paving DESCRIPTION	<u>QTY</u>	DE
P-100-1	PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN PAINT ON EXISTING CONCRETE SIDEWALK - STREETBOND 120 BY GAE TERRA COTTA	974 sf	
·100-2	PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN PAINT ON NEW CONCRETE SIDEWALK - STREETBOND 120 BY GAE TERRA COTTA	305 sf	4/L
P-100-3	PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN PAINT ON EXISTING ASPHALT - STREETBOND 120 BY GAF TERRA COTTA	757 sf	
P-100-4	EXISTING CONCRETE SIDEWALK TO REMAIN	587 sf	
P-100-5	ARTIFICIAL TURF - FOREVERLAWN K9GRASS	739 sf	1/L
P-100-6	6" WHITE THERMOPLASTIC STRIPING	231 lf	
SYMBOL	Site Amenities DESCRIPTION	QTY	DE
S-101	VERADEK ROUND PLANTER 27"D X 30"h, BLACK COLOR	4	
S-102	VERADEK RECTANGULAR PLANTER 38"X15"X16", BLACK COLOR	4	
S-103	BELSON PRECAST CONCRETE PLANTER, 36"DIAX24"H, ACID WASH CHARCOAL COLOR	3	
6-104	GRAND STEEL PATIO BISTRO SET - ONE FOLDABLE PATIO TABLE AND TWO CHAIRS - YELLOW COLOR	6	
S-105	GALTECH 9' OCTAGONAL HARDWOOD PATIO MARKET UMBRELLA - LIGHT WOOD W/SUNBRELLA CANVAS NATURAL CANOPY	2	
-106	LANDSCAPE FORMS PARALLEL 42 UNITS BENCH, STRAIGHT, IPE WOOD WITH FLAMBE ORANGE POWDERCOATED METAL	2	10
S-107	FIRE HYDRANT FOR DOG - RED COLOR	2	
S-108	PET FOUNTAIN - STAINLESS STEEL BOWL WITH 12 GAUGE STAINLESS STEEL RECEPTOR	2	
S-109	IN GROUND DOG WASTE STATION	2	
S-110	LOG BALANCE BEAM	5	
S-111	ROCKS	4	
S-112	CORRADI AWNING - EVO SC, 11` PROJECTION, 17` WIDE, WHITE FRAME WITH PRUSSIAN BLUE FABRIC	5	11




Jery Pate	301 SCHUBERT DRIVE - PENSACOLA, FL 32504 850-479-4653 www.jerrypatedesign.com
NORT	H
DOWNTOWN IMPROVEMENT BOARD Jefferson Street Puppy Pit Stop	HARDSCAPE PLAN
REV. # DATE REV. # DATE PROJECT NUMBER: 19016 DATE: 12.19.2019 SHEET NO: LS3(	   D1





# PLANT SCHEDULE PUPPY F

TREES	CODE	BOTANICAL / COMMON NAME	CAL	DBH		QTY
	QV	Quercus virginiana Southern Live Oak	FG	3" DBH		2
HRUBS	CODE	BOTANICAL / COMMON NAME	CONT	SPEC	SPACING	QTY
	CS	Camellia sasanqua `Shishi-Gashira` Camellia	3 gal	SP	30" o.c.	10
	CA	Consolida ajacis Rocket Larkspur	4" POT		12" o.c.	4
E.S	DV	Daniellia tasmanica `Variegata` Varigated Flax Lily	1 gal	Full Pot	30" o.c.	23
	IS4	llex crenata 'Sky Pencil' Sky Pencil Japanese Holly	1 gal	SP	15" o.c.	4
500 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	IN	llex vomitoria `Nana` Dwarf Yaupon	3 gal		36" o.c.	8
	IS3	Impatiens spp. Impatiens	4" POT		12" o.c.	4
	IO	Ipomoea batatas Ornamental Sweet Potato	4" POT	Full Pot	6" o.c.	51
$\langle \cdot \rangle$	JB2	Juniperus chinensis `Blue Point` Blue Point Juniper	1 gal	SP	15" o.c.	7
	MS	Miscanthus sinensis `Adagio` Adagio Eulalia Grass	3 gal	Full Pot	36" o.c.	8
$\bullet$	PD	Podocarpus macrophyllus `Dwarf Pringles` Dwarf Podocarpus	3 gal		24" o.c.	43
$\bigotimes$	PM4	Podocarpus macrophyllus Meta Meta Japanese Yew	3 gal		36" o.c.	6
·*********	R4	Rosa David Austin 'Crown Princess Margareta' Climbing English Rose	4" POT		60" o.c.	4
•	SA	Sesleria autumnalis Autumn Moor Grass	3 gal		36" o.c.	4



PIT STOP	
----------	--





В


С

А

В

С

2










- NOTES: TREE PLANTING (>2"CAL.) ALL PLANT MATERIALS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE AMERICAN STANDARDS FOR NURSERYSTOCK (ANSI 60.1-2004). PLANT ACCORDING TO ANSI A300 PART 6.
   DIG THE PLANTING HOLE A MINIMUM OF 2x WIDTH OF ROOTBALL FOR AT LEAST THE FIRST 12 INCHES OF BELOW 12 INCHES, DIG HOLE WIDE ENOUGH TO PERMIT ADJUSTING. DO NOT DIG THE HOLE DEEPER THAN ROOT BALL DEPTH.
   SCARIFY THE SUBGRADE AND SIDES OF THE PLANTING HOLE WHEN PLANTING IN CLAY SOLS (MORE THAN 15% CLAY).
   LIFT AND SET THE TREE BY ROOT BALL ONLY. DO NOT LIFT USING THE TREE TRUNK AND DO NOT USE TREE TRUNK AS A LEVER.
   SET THE TOP OF THE ROOT BALL 2"-3" HIGHER THAN THE SOIL SURFACE SURFACE 6. AFTER THE TREE IS SET IN PLACE, REMOVE BURLAP, WIRE AND

- SURFACE.
   AFTER THE TREE IS SET IN PLACE, REMOVE BURLAP, WIRE AND STRAPS FROM AT LEAST THE UPPER \$ OF THE ROOTBALL.
   BACKFILL WITH EXISTING SOIL THAT HAS BEEN WELL-TILLED OR BROKEN UP. DO NOT ADD AMENDMENTS TO THE BACKFILL SOIL. AMEND THE SURFACE WITH MULCH.
   USE ARBOR THE GREEN STRAPS HD15 ARBORTE 900 LB W/ HEAVY DUTY ANCHORING KITS (OR APPROVED EQUAL).
   ATTACH 3/4" NYLON WEBBING TO CONNECT THE TREE TO STAKES. ATTACH WEBBING AT 1/3 THE TREE HEIGHT.
   APPLY A 2-3" (SETTLED) DEPTH OF PINE STRAW OR BARK MULCH TO THE PLANTING SURFACE. LEAVE A 2" SPACE AROUND THE TRUNK FOR AIR CIRCULATION.
   PRUNING SHALL BE LIMITED TO DEAD, DISEASED, OR BROKEN LIMBS ONLY AND SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI A300 SPECIFICATIONS.
   REMOVE ANY TRUNK WRAP REMAINING AT TIME OF PLANTING. NO WRAPS SHALL BE INGED ON TRUNK.
   FERTILZE WITH AGRIFORM (OR APPROVED EQUAL) 21 GRAM TABLETS PER PLANTING NOTES

- ARBORTIE GREEN STRAPS (OR APPROVED EQUAL) TIED PER MANUFACTERS' SPECIFICATIONS
- ARBORTIE GREEN STRAPS AT HD 15 ARBORTIE 900Ib. (OR APPROVED EQUAL)
- CROWN OF ROOT BALL SHALL BE 2"-3" ABOVE GRADE. PLACED ON SUBGRADE PEDESTAL.
- MULCH PER SPECS - CREATE SOIL SAUCER WITH TOPSOIL 3" CONTINUOUS RIM.
- 24" DEPTH ROOT BARRIER AT EDGE OF BED AND HARDSCAPE
- 1  $\frac{1}{2}$  deep x 1' wide  $\frac{3}{8}$ " pea gravel trench along bed ARBORTIE AT HD15 HEAVY DUTY ANCHORING KIT (OR APPROVED EQUAL) PER MANUFACTURERS' SPECIFICATIONS
- HARDSCAPE PER PLAN  $^-$  FOLD DOWN OR CUT AND REMOVE TOP  $\frac{1}{3}$  OF BURLAP, WIRE & STRAPS FROM ROOT BALL
- AGRIFORM (OR APPROVED EQUAL) 21 GRAM TABLETS APPLICATION: QUANTITY PER PLANTING NOTES. TABLETS SHALL BE SPACED EVENLY In a circular pattern around roots. Indeed to be shall be the tent in a circular pattern around rootsall. Depth of tablets  $\frac{1}{2}$  rootsall depth. Backfill planting hole halfway, place tablets 1"-2" from root tips. Finish filling the hole around plant material to level specified by detail.
- PREPARED SUBSOIL TO FORM PEDESTAL TO PREVENT SETTLING
- DECIDOUS TREE PLANTING WITH ROOT BARRIER
  - JPD-CO-PLA-11

3

NTS



SHRUB PLANTING - BARE ROOT



- BACKFILL WITH A MIXTURE OF PLANTING PIT SPOIL

ROOTBALL

4

JPD-CO-PLA-12

NTS


- PRUNE DAMAGED OR DESICCATED ROOTS.

CREATE SOIL SAUCER WITH TOPSOIL 1"-2" CONTINUOUS RIM.

- MULCH PER SPECS

- SLOPE FINISHED GRADE AT BACKFILL AWAY FROM ROOTBALL

SURROUNDING FINISHED GRADE.



- (1) SET ROOTBALL CROWN 1"-2"HIGHER THAN SURROUNDING FINISHED GRADE.
- SLOPE FINISHED GRADE AT BACKFILL AWAY FROM ROOTBALL (3) MULCH
- (4) create soil saucer with TOPSOIL 1"-2" CONTINUOUS RIM. (5) PRUNE DAMAGED OR DESICCATED ROOTS.
- 6 BACKFILL WITH A MIXTURE OF PLANTING PIT SPOIL & PREPARED PLANTING SOIL, PER SPECS, FROM ADJACENT PLANTING AREA
- (7) planting tablets, agriform, PER MANUF. RECOMENDATIONS FOR SPECIES. AGRIFORM 21 GRAM TABLETS APPLICATION: QUANTITY PER PLANTING NOTES. TABLETS SHALL BE SPACED EVENLY IN A CIRCULAR PATTERN AROUND ROOTBALL. DEPTH OF TABLETS 1/2 ROOTBALL DEPTH. BACKFILL PLANTING HOLE HALFWAY, PLACE TABLETS 1"-2" FROM ROOT TIPS. FINISH FILLING THE HOLE AROUND PLANT MATERIAL TO LEVEL SPECIFIED BY DETAIL.
- (8) TERRA SORB GEL PRE WET. USE APPROXIMATE 5 TSP PER PLANT.

# **TYPICAL SHRUB PLANTING WITH TERRA SORB GEL**

JPD-CO-PLA-329343-19

Jery Pate	301 SCHUBERT DRIVE - PENSACOLA. EL 32504 850-479-4653 www.JerryPatedesign.com
DOWNTOWN IMPROVEMENT BOARD Jeferson Street Puppy Pit Stop	DETAILS
REV. # DATE	
PROJECT NUMBER: 19016 DATE: 12.19.2019 SHEET NO.: LS5	


# **IRRIGATION SCHEDULE**

А

\_\_\_\_\_

SYMBOL	MANUFACTURER/MODEL	QTY	ARC	PSI	GPM
Ø	Rain Bird 1804-SAM-PRS-1400 Flood	2	360	30	0.50
SYMBOL	MANUFACTURER/MODEL/DESCRIPTION	QTY			
	Existing Drip Valve: Rain Bird XCZ-100-PRF 1"	1			
Ē	Rain Bird MDCFCAP Dripline Flush Valve cap in compression fitting coupler.	1			
Ą	Rain Bird ARV050 1/2" 1/2" Air Relief Valve, made of quality rust-proof materials, with a 6.0" drip valve box (SEB 7XB emitter box). Use with installation below soil. The valve will allow air to escape the pipeline, thus preventing water hammer or blockage.	1			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Area to Receive Drip Emitters Rain Bird XB-PC Single Outlet, Pressure Compensating Drip Emitters. Flow rates of 0.5gph=blue, 1.0gph=black, and 2.0gph=red. Comes with a self-piercing barb inlet x barb outlet.	688.3 s.f.			
SYMBOL	MANUFACTURER/MODEL/DESCRIPTION	QTY			
$\bigcirc$	Existing Valve: Rain Bird PEB 1"	1			
	Irrigation Lateral Line: PVC Class 200 SDR 21 1"	809.6 l.f.			
 / #•\ # •	Pipe Sleeve: PVC Schedule 40          Valve Callout         Valve Number         Valve Flow	71.3 l.f.			


NOTE:




## 4

Drip Emitter Chart					
Plant Material					
Botonical	Common	Emitter Size (gph)			
Camellia sasanqua 'Shishi-Gashira'	Camellia	1.0			
Daniellia tasmanica `Variegata`	Varigated Flax Lily	0.5			
llex vomitoria `Nana`	Dwarf Yaupon	0.5			
Miscanthus sinensis 'Adagio'	Adagio Eulalia Grass	0.5			
Podocarpus macrophyllus 'Dwarf Pringles'	Dwarf Podocarpus	1.0			
Podocarpus macrophyllus mata	Shrubby Yew	1.0			

ELEMENT LOCATION ON DRAWINGS ARE SCHEMATIC SHOWING DESIGN INTENT. ENSURE 100% IRRIGATION COVERAGE AND LOCATE PIPE IN BEDS.






А


R

С

6 LATERAL LINE PIPE FITTING

FLOOD BUBBLER, RAINBIRD 1400 9 NTS JPD-IR-CIRR-DRIP-328413-01





# K9Grass®

K9Grass is the artificial grass designed specifically for dogs. With its exclusive flow-through backing, short dense blade structure, and antimicrobial protection, K9Grass offers a cleaner, safer, better environment for pets.



Lighter version of K9Grass Classic. Great for indoors or large areas.



The original pet-friendly grass. Durable, drainable, cleanable, and antimicrobial.



# No More Mud!

BACKYARDS AND DOG RUNS Say goodbye to cold concrete, gravel, mulch, muddy yards, and dirty paws—K9Grass is the solution you have been looking for.

## KENNELS, BOARDING FACILITIES AND DOG PARKS

Pet facilities benefit from the long-term savings, added cleanliness, and increased revenues they see after installing K9Grass. Clean, safe, beautiful and easy to maintain—K9Grass is everything you want and need from a surfacing product.



### About ForeverLawn

ForeverLawn provides innovative synthetic grass products to create better landscapes worldwide. In areas where real grass is difficult to grow or maintain—due to high traffic or poor conditions— ForeverLawn offers a natural-looking alternative that is beautiful, functional, and durable. ForeverLawn—Grass without limits.®



## The synthetic grass designed specifically for dogs!

M0060 Rev. 02/19



866.992.7876 • foreverlawn.com • K9Grass.com

Fore /erLawn



K9Grass is the synthetic grass designed specifically for dogs. Its unique features help promote a cleaner, safer, better environment for pets.



With its exclusive flowthrough backing, short dense blade structure, and antimicrobial protection, K9Grass is the ideal surface for both residential and commercial pet areas.



COMPONENTS	K9Grass Lite	K9Grass Classic
YARN TYPE	<ul> <li>Primary: Polyethylene monofilament</li> <li>Secondary: Heat set textured nylon monofilament</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Primary: Polyethylene monofilament</li> <li>Secondary: Heat set textured nylon monofilament</li> </ul>
YARN COLOR	<ul><li>Primary: Summer green</li><li>Secondary: Turf green</li></ul>	<ul><li>Primary: Summer green</li><li>Secondary: Turf green</li></ul>
YARN COUNT	<ul><li>Primary: 5,000/4</li><li>Secondary: 4,200/8</li></ul>	<ul><li>Primary: 5,000/4</li><li>Secondary: 4,200/8</li></ul>
CONSTRUCTION	• Knitted	• Knitted
BLADE HEIGHT	• 7/8"*	• 7/8"*
FACE WEIGHT	• 56 ounces*	• 72 ounces*
TOTAL PRODUCT WEIGHT	• 71 ounces*	• 87 ounces*
BACKING	Flow-through backing	Flow-through backing
ANTIMICROBIAL PROTECTION	• AlphaSan®	• AlphaSan®
SEAMING	• Turf adhesive	• Turf adhesive
INFILL	• NONE	• NONE
*Product heights shown may have	a variance of 1/8" and product weights sho	wn may have a variance of 2%.

WHAT MAKES K9GRASS UNIQUE?		
Durable	Dense interlocking construction of high-grade materials	
Drainable	Unique knitted flow-through backing	
Cleanable	Short, dense blade construction requiring no infill greatly enhances cleanability	
Safe	Integral AlphaSan® antimicrobial protection built-in	






# Fore erLawn

# AirGrid 3

### Engineered and produced by ForeverLawn®

<sup>by</sup> Fore /erLawn

Sera:



AirGrid 3 is engineered and produced by ForeverLawn® to maximize air and water flow in ForeverLawn patented K9Grass® system. It is specifically designed for use over concrete and other hard, impermeable surfaces. The interlocking grid provides a stable, flat, extremely drainable, strong surface over which the K9Grass is installed. The innovative design has minimal contact with the surface allowing maximum air and liquid flow. AirGrid 3 is another proprietary technological advancement by ForeverLawn, showing once again that we are not just ahead of the curve, we are defining it.

Size:	12-3/8" x 12-3/8" x 1-3/8" (31.5cm x 31.5cm x 3.5cm)
Color:	Black
Construction:	<ul> <li>Injection molded copolymer</li> <li>144 - 3/4" diameter holes</li> <li>49 legs</li> <li>Two connections per side</li> </ul>
Material:	Polypropylene
Weight:	0.7 lbs.
Assembly:	Male-female connections
Plumbing:	Clearance for 1" diameter pipe
Compatible:	Seamlessly integrates with AirGrid 2
Load:	Independently tested to support >3,100 lbs. psf.

Available exclusively from ForeverLawn.

M0890 Rev. 06/19



The synfhefic grass designed specifically for dogs!866.992.7876 • foreverlawn.com • K9grass.com

# ForeverLawn

# StreetBond<sup>®</sup> Pavement Coatings Color Guide

# (COMCO120)

Updated: 10/16



Quality You Can Trust...From North America's Largest Roofing Manufacturer!™





# PAVEMENT

1-1-1

# COATINGS

# COLOR

# GUIDE





# TRADITIONAL

Traditional Colors are warm, earth tone, and neutral colors designed to enhance the aesthetics of a public space long-term. These colors are commonly used in community areas, universities, public buildings, crosswalks, and plazas, and in decorative streetscape designs. The Traditional Colors family provides more subtle and flexible colors that go well together in any pavement coatings project.

# SOLAR-REFLECTIVE

Solar-Reflective Colors use special technology designed to cool high-traffic areas without limiting color choices to white or light colors. Solar-Reflective Colors reduce Urban Heat Island Effect and the temperature of asphalt surfaces while resisting UV damage and degradation of asphalt to create a more comfortable environment. These colors are typically used in pedestrian and vehicular high-traffic areas such as parks, schools, playgrounds, and non-covered areas that experience direct sunlight.

# CYCLE LANE

These bold, highly reflective colors are designed to delineate public cycle lanes and intersections, helping to keep cyclists safe and reinforce priority to bicyclists by visually alerting drivers to the presence of a cycle lane. Cycle Lane Colors are also used in the coating of off-street jogging/cycle paths, bus lanes, school zones, and parking lot markings.

# SIGNATURE

Signature Colors transform the look and feel of a community by providing a custom palette that is designed for achieving a differentiated look. These are non-stocked custom colors used in public areas such as decorative streetscapes, parks, plazas, sports courts, civic centers, and playgrounds to direct pedestrian and vehicular traffic flow.




# TRADITIONAL



# SOLAR-REFLECTIVE










CL Emerald Green



# SIGNATURE



Butterscotch



#### Chestnut Brown



Mustard



#### Paprika



Sea Foam



### Sage



Patriot Blue



#### Gun Metal



#### Smokey Mauve



### Bike Path Green

Note: On-screen color representations may vary from actual coating colors.



### Driftwood



### Pumpkin Spice



#### Mocha



#### Down To Earth



#### Avocado



#### Aqua



### Truffle



## Cobalt Blue



#### Merlot



#### Graphite



# EVO & EVO SC

Residential EVO SC | Courtesy of Awnimation

Jaann



# **EVO & EVO SC Retractable Arm Awnings**

*Raising the bar for Awning Design Standards for both open roll and semi-cassette awnings.* 

Combines sophisticated design with the modern technology to offer unparalleled performance and unique features currently not found on any other system of its kind.

The EVO is an elegant open roll awning. It utilizes the EVO arm bracket of which is a combination die-cast/extrusion to obtain the highest strength and still provide the maximum ease for pitch adjustment and installation. Thanks to the strength and the design of the arm bracket, EVO is the only awning in the market that can safely go to a 13' 6" (162") projection still using a standard 40 x 40 mm square support bar.

EVO SC (pictured above) uses the same technology of the EVO and adds 3 additional components to create a Semi-Cassette. This provides complete protection to the fabric as well as leaves the components accessible for easy installation and adjustments. When closed, EVO SC completely conceals the fabric, like a cassette awning, giving it a clean and covert design. The EVO and EVO SC are available up to an amazing 40' (480") maximum width.


Standard Frame colors available for the EVO and EVO SC are White, Tan, and Mocha - all finishes are gloss. Complete line of awning fabrics available, featuring our Para Tempotest line of 100% acrylic awning material. The EVO and EVO SC have a Limited Lifetime Warranty.

#### Standard Frame Color Options




Limited Lifetime Warranty







### EVO SC Technical





End cap attached

- 1 1 Left roll tube support bracket end cap (1 right roll tube support bracket end cap not viewed in drawing but is pictured in the photographs below)
- 2 2 Roll tube support brackets
- 3 1 Crank gear (when manual will not have crank gear when motorized)
- 4 Wall bracket (or soffit) (see bracket chart on EVO SC price page for amount necessary to support width range)
- 5 1 Roll tube
- 6 1 Rear support bar
- 7 Rear arm brackets (1 per arm)
- Arm (see bracket chart on EVO SC price page for amount of arms necessary to support width range)
- **9** Front bar attachment (1 per arm)
- 10 1 Front bar
- 11 2 Front bar endcaps










### **EVO** Technical





**CEILING BRACKET** 

F O

5.1"

74





### Product description

Our Grand Patio Parisian stylish outdoor bistro set includes garden table and chairs, which are outdoor powder coated. Our garden furniture sets are concise, beautiful and sturdy. These pub table and chairs also has plastic cushions on foot and the joint for protection. This outside furniture is certainly the quality modern outdoor furniture you can't miss.

These metal constructed outdoor table and chairs adopt a bright color, which creates a unique artistic style. This metal outdoor furniture gives you the most wonderful view while enjoying yourself.

These avesome bistro table and chairs set don't only offer you a quiet moment with a cup of drink or several great books outdoors but also give a comfortable seating for your distinguished guests. This wrought iron bistro set will definitely change your life style, making your life wonderful. You will fall in love with outdoor living.

The 3 piece bistro set could be placed in your Patio, Backyard, Balcony, Roadside, Bistro and so on.

Our durable and small patio set are easy to setup and fold for storage. No assembly required. These outdoor furniture sets are also so easy to clean. These outside table and chairs can save your precious time and space.

Specification: Set Includes: 2 PCS of chains, 1 PC of table Material: Steel Color: Yellow Chari Size: 23 (D) X 19.5'(W) X 32'(H). Table Size: 23.6'(DIA) X 23'(H). Overall Product Weight: 48lb Notice: You can contact Grand patio for replacement if these plastic foot pieces are missing. Type: Lawn (minuter, deck furniture, porch furniture, bistro set indoor, kitchen bistro set, backyard furniture, outside table and chairs.

#### **Product information**

r:Yellow	
Package Dimensions	38 x 24 x 6.4 inches
tem Weight	39.1 pounds
Shipping Weight	39.1 pounds (View shipping rates and policies)
ASIN	B07MC3V467
Customer Reviews	<b>4.6</b> out of 5 stars
Best Sellers Rank	#10,698 in Patio, Lawn & Garden (See Top 100 in Patio, Lawn & Garden) #4 in Patio Bistro Sets

Warranty & Support

Product Warranty: For warranty information about this product, please click here

Feedback

If you are a seller for this product, would you like to suggest updates through seller support? Would you like to tell us about a lower price?

## PATIO PRODUCTS USA



Specs	
Height:	96.00"
Height (ft):	8'
Width/Diameter (in):	108"
Length:	96"
Width/Diameter (ft):	9'
Width/Diameter (ft):	9'
Warranty:	2 Years (excluding wind damage)
Material:	Aluminum
Weight:	16 lbs.
Bar Height Size:	44
Bottom Pole Size:	37
Pole Diameter:	1
Umbrella Height:	96
Application:	Residential
Coupling:	Push Button
Crank Material:	ABS Plastic Housing
Finial Material:	Solid Resin
Hub Material:	Solid Resin
Lift Type:	Crank - Steel Cable
Rib Count:	8
Rib Material:	Fiberglass
Tilt:	Auto Tilt

#### Style and Option 1

Style:	Sunbrella Custom Colors
Item #:	736 Sunbrella Custom Colors
Price:	View product for price
Style and Option 2	
Style:	Sunbrella Patterns - Quick Ship

736 Sunbrella Grade A - Quick Ship

Item #:

#### GaltechUmbrellas Cutsheet

Price:	View product for price
Style and Option 3	
Style:	Sunbrella Solid Colors - Quick Ship
Item #:	736 Sunbrella Grade B - Quick Ship
Price:	View product for price
Style and Option 4	
Style:	Thatch
Item #:	736 Suncrylic
Price:	View product for price
Style and Option 5	
Style:	Suncrylic - Quick Ship
Item #:	736 Suncrylic - Quick Ship

# Parallel 42<sup>™</sup>

### landscapeforms

Product Data Sheet




Parallel 42 is a modular wood bench system that's much more than a bench. Three easy pieces provide elegantly simple building blocks for activating social space. Parallel 42 is about creating variable applications for specific situations. Use right hand, left hand and wedge modules—singly or in any combination. Form simple and complex configurations. Create borders, resting places and enclaves. Or frame space to activate settings around complementary site elements.

#### Parallel 42<sup>™</sup>

- Benching system units constructed of choice of exterior wood.
- Multiple configurations meet a variety of applications.
- Units sold individually as Left Hand, Right Hand, Straight and Wedge units.
- Supporting frame is powder coated steel.
- Units can be freestanding or surface mounted.
- Units can be placed together to make many different benching systems.
- Left and Right units are 77" long.
- Wedge unit is 67" long.
- Leveling glides are pre-installed for ready field adjustment.
- Meets ANSI/BIFMA performance standards.
- Units ship fully assembled.

1


• Straight end bench should not be placed end-to-end with an angled bench.



LEFT	DEPTH	LENGTH	HEIGHT	PRODUCT WEIGHT	
	18"	77"	18"	181 lb	
RIGHT	DEPTH	LENGTH	HEIGHT	PRODUCT WEIGHT	
	18"	77"	18"	181 lb	
WEDGE	DEPTH	LENGTH	HEIGHT	PRODUCT WEIGHT	
	18"	67"	18"	165 lb	
STRAIGHT	DEPTH	LENGTH	HEIGHT	PRODUCT WEIGHT	
	18"	67"	18"	174 lb	

# Parallel 42<sup>™</sup>

**Product Data Sheet** 



#### **Finishes**

- Metal All metal is finished with Landscape Forms' proprietary Pangard II<sup>®</sup> polyester powdercoat, a hard yet flexible finish that resists rusting, chipping, peeling and fading.
- A wide range of standard, optional and custom colors are available.
- Exterior woods are unfinished and will weather to a soft pewter gray, requiring no future maintenance.

### 

#### **To Specify**

Visit http://pricebook.landscapeforms.com

Designed by Brian Revoir

Visit our landscapeforms.com for more information. Specifications are subject to change without notice. Landscape Forms supports the Landscape Architecture Foundation at the Second Century level. ©2019 Landscape Forms, Inc. Printed in U.S.A.

# Parallel 42<sup>™</sup>

### Material / Colors

#### **Powdercoated Metal**

In addition to colors shown below, a wide selection of optional and custom colors may be specified for an upcharge.



### Powdercoated Metal (Metallic)\*

Bronze	Silver	Steel	Stone	Titanium	Mercury

### **Designer Palette Architectural Series**

Blue Ash	Dusk	Nutmeg	Obsidian	Onyx	Matte Black


#### Wood


Exterior woods weather to a warm, pewter gray; no finish is applied so no maint enance is required. Available in Jarrah and Domestically Sourced Thermally Modified Ash (DSTMA), and Ipe exterior wood. Pricing for standard woods and options varies, see Price Book. (P) = Premium Woods

#### Exterior: no finish



IMPORTANT NOTE: Standard choices are shown; colors are approximate. To make final color selections, please call for material samples.











# Veradek Metallic Screen Set - Web

### \$399.99 \$289.99



ADD TO CART

Privacy has never looked so good! Transform your backyard or deck with a Veradek Outdoor Partition Screen Set. Inspired by traditional geometric patterns from around the world, Veradek Screens are modern, yet versatile. With various available patterns and privacy rating options, Veradek Screens are the ideal screen to hide an unsightly neighbor's backyard, to block unwanted direct sunlight, create privacy or to frame an outdoor room. Made from 1.5 mm laser cut galvanized steel, all Veradek Outdoor screens are of the highest quality and designed to resist corrosion through all seasons. Veradek Outdoor Screens are solid, yet lightweight and are protected by a strong, scratch-resistant powder coat, ensuring that they will stand the test of time in both commercial and residential settings. All screen sets include all necessary assembly hardware and stand for quick and easy setup. With a Veradek product, you can rest assured that you are receiving the perfect balance of design, durability and convenience.





### FEATURES:

- Precision laser cut from thick gauge galvanized steel
- Finished with a strong, scratch resistant, furniture quality powdercoat
- Corrosion resistant for all seasons
- Treated with UV inhibitors to minimize fading
- All hardware included for quick and easy setup
- Versatile design multiple sets can be fastened together

### DIMENSIONS

### 3 Panel

- Black W 45" L 1.75" H 76"
- Corten Steel W 42" L 1.75" H 76"

### 2 Panel

- Black W 45" L 1.75" H 48"
- Corten Steel W 42" L 1.75" H 48"

### **Customer Reviews**

No reviews yet Write a review

f Share 🎔 Tweet 🦻 Pinit







## Curve 30" Grooved

\$279.99



ADD TO CART

Round and distinctive, the tapered Curve planter is the perfect addition to any front entrance or poolside patio. Characterized by a grooved finish and a modern, sleek round tapered design, the Curve planter was specifically designed for the commercial user in mind as a part of our Pro Series. Molded from an extremely durable plastic through a process known as roto molding, all Pro Series planters are crack proof and designed to withstand temperatures ranging from -30 degrees in the Winter up to +60 degrees in the Summer. Pro Series planters are flexible, impact resistant, fade resistant, sturdy yet lightweight and are covered by a comprehensive 10-Year splitting and cracking warranty. In addition, all Pro Series planters come equipped with a removable shelf set 14 inches below the rim of the planter - this provides the user the versatility of fully filling the planter with soil or using an interchangeable plant insert. With a Veradek product you can rest assured that you are receiving the perfect balance of design, durability and convenience.

#### Features

Double wall roto molded design for added insulation

Made from a high-grade polyethylene for optimum durability – commercial grade

Frost resistant up to -30 degrees

10 Year comprehensive splitting and cracking warranty

Treated with UV inhibitors to avoid fading

Sturdy yet lightweight - easy to move


Equipped with a removable insert shelf





## Metallic Series Long Box Planter

\$229.99





Low and Long. The Corten Steel Long Box planter can be used as an accent piece for an outdoor deck or can provide interest to a rooftop patio. Crafted from a thick gauge, fully seam welded galvanized steel base, all Metallic Series planters are proven to resist weather related issues such as cracking in both winter and summer months. Given this added durability. Metallic Series planters are ideal in both residential and commercial settings. In addition, all Metallic Series planters are equipped with Styrofoam insulation to help ensure that plants stay healthy in both hot and cold extremes. With a Veradek product you can rest assured that you are receiving the balance of design, durability and convenience.

FEATURES



- Equipped with insulation for root protection during harsh winter and summer months
- Equipped with riser feet to allow for optimal drainage and aeration
- Finished with a strong, scratch resistant, powdercoat
- Frost and crack resistant for colder climates
- Fully hand welded by expert craftsmen
- Fully seam welded using thick gauge galvanized steel
- Drainage Holes: Yes
- Indoor and Outdoor Use

### DIMENSIONS

- Long Box Small L 25" W 11" H 13"
  - Capacity: 1792 oz.
- Long Box Medium L 32" W 10" H 16"
   Capacity: 2688 oz.
- Long Box Large L 38" W 15" H 16"









This space-saving fountain offers the flexibility of either mounting to a wall or onto a concrete pad as a free standing unit. The fountain is activated by a side mounted, self-closing button and once filled, the slow drain feature allows the dog to quench its thirst for 30-60 seconds before draining to help prevent unsafe standing water. Unit adheres to NSF/ANSI 61, Section 9, Public Law 111-380 (No Lead) and CHSC 116875. Also features optional freeze resistant valves and/or a remote push button on a wall mounted plate.



Views:





## 7216 PET FOUNTAIN

18 gauge, 304 stainless steel bowl with 12 gauge stainless steel receptor

> 15 powder coat color options available

Operates on water pressure range of 20-105 psig

### DOG-ON-IT-PARKS

4818 Evergreen Way Ste 250 Everett, WA 98203 P: 877-348-3647

www.dog-on-it-parks.com

Refer to installation manual for more detailed specifications

Copyright 2016


U. S. A.



The one piece no dog park is complete without! Cast and rotationally molded from an authentic fire hydrant, we use durable reinforced resin and a UV clear coat to ensure years of fun. The hydrant's base is factory drilled to accommodate four anchor bolts for the many installation options. Standard color is red, but custom colors and logos are available.



**Side Views:** 





## 7260 FIRE HYDRANT

Glass fiber reinforced resin and UV clear coat

### Durable acrylic paint, hand finished details

Custom colors and logos available

> Dimensions: 32" H x 12"D

### DOG-ON-IT-PARKS

4818 Evergreen Way Ste 250 Everett, WA 98203 P: 877-348-3647

www.dog-on-it-parks.com

Refer to installation manual for more detailed specifications

Copyright 2016





### Architectural Review Board

### **MEMORANDUM**

SUBJECT:		New Business - Item 3 412 E. Belmont Street OEHPD / OEHC-1 Contributing Structure
DATE:		January 8, 2020
FROM:	GH	Gregg Harding, Historic Preservation Planner
TO:		Architectural Review Board Members

### BACKGROUND

Jesse LaCoste, LaCoste Construction Group, is seeking *final* approval for a rear addition and exterior modifications to a contributing structure. The new addition will add approximately 600 sf to the ground floor. As depicted in the floor plans, the west side of the addition will be slightly recessed to meet the current side yard setback and will consist of board and batten wood siding, matching shingles, and a brick pier foundation to blend with the main section. On the existing structure, changes include replacement wood clad windows, the addition of a shallow dormer to the west elevation, and renovations to the front entrance area which include double front doors, a transom window and a new brick stairs. Due to intended interior uses and to keep the existing pitch, the current roof will be raised approximately 3'. This project was denied conceptual approval in November 2019 and an on-site Special Meeting took place which allowed the Board and the public to assess the structural condition of the interior.

Please find attached all relevant documentation for your review.

### RECOMMENDED CODE SECTIONS

Sec. 12-2-10(C)(7) OEHPD, Restoration, rehabilitation, alterations or additions to existing contributing structures in the Old East Hill Preservation District

### 222 West Main Street Pensacola, Florida 32502 www.cityofpensacola.com

ES 868


STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE Division of Archives History and Records Management (is the DAAA Rev 3.79	RIDA MASTER Site Inventory		FDAHRM	$\frac{802 = =}{1009 = =}$
		Site No	9020-230-0	1009 = =
Site Name 412 E. Belmo	nt St. 8	<u>30 = =</u> Survey	Date 8011	820 = =
Address of Site: 412 E. Belt	mont St.			905 = =
Instruction for locating On N		nt St betwe	en N Alcani	z_st
and N Davis St		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		813 = =
Location: <u>East King Tract</u> subdivision name	22 block i		23 lot no.	868 = =
County: Escambia	DIOCK	·· <b>·</b> .	iorno,	808 = =
Owner of Cita: Mamai Desire	. Charles L	& Betty Abbo	tt	1
Address: 2909 E. (	Gonzales St.			
			0011	902 = =
Type of Ownership private		cording Date	8011	<u> 632 = =</u>
Recorder: Name & Title: <u>Hawley</u>	Nancy: Well	s. Merrilv		•
Address: HPPB		<u> </u>		<u></u>
		······································		818 = =
Condition of Site: Integrity of S	Site: (	Driginal Use	esidence	838 = =
• ,		<b>.</b>		
Check One Check One		Present Usere		850 = =
	858 = =	Dates: Beginning Culture/Phase	<u>c +1890</u>	844 = =
Good 863 = Unaltered				840 = = 845 = =
E Fait 863 = Criginal Site	<u>858 = =</u> H	Period <u>19th</u>	entury	045 = =
Detensitied $863 = = \square \text{Restored}(.)($	Date: X ) 858 = =			
Moved ( ) (Da	ite. )( ) 858 = =			
NR Classification Category:	Building			<u>916 = =</u>
Threats to Site: Check One or More				
- mai i	א 1878== L∄T	ransportation ( )(		)()878 = =
		······································		X)878 = =
tievelopment <u>X</u>				)( )878 = =
Detenoration ( X		iredge ( )		<u> </u>
Bo:rowing( )	<u>) 878 = =</u>			
Other (See Remarks Below):	للمستر والترو المتعرفان المسروعية	876 = =		
Areas of Significance:Ar	chitecture			910 = =
Significance: Built in abo C.T. Cronise, this mod from the earlier Gothi gabled roof and its en	lest cottage Lc styles in :	exhibits inf its steeplv-	luences	
, , ,				•
				}
			911 =	-
		SEE SIT ORIGINAL	E FILE STA	<b>IFF FOR</b>

•


	ECT Unknown						872 = -
BUILDE	R <u>Unknow</u>	<u>m</u>					874 = =
STYLE AND/OR PERIOD Frame Vernacular				964 = =			
PLAN TYPE T-shape				966 = =			
EXTERI	EXTERIOR FABRIC(S) wood: board & batten				854 = =		
STRUCTURAL SYSTEM(S) Wood frame			856 = =				
PORCH	ES S/ apron po	orch, 1 bay,	mod	lern wrough	t iron	post	
							942 = =
FOUND	ATION: Pier;	brick					942 = =
	YPE: gable						942 = =
SECON	DARY ROOF STRUC	CTURE(S): gabl	Le				942 = =
CHIMN	EY LOCATION: cen	ter, ridge					942 = =
WINDO	WTYPE: DHS, 3	1, wood; w	/ 101	uvered shu	tters		942 = =
CHIMN							882 = =
ROOFS	URFACING: com	position shi	ingle	e: butt			882 = =
ORNAN	ENT EXTERIOR: W					ves/brck	t 882 = =
	CHIMNEYS 1		=				950 = =
NO. OF	DORMERS -						954 = =
Map Re	ference (incl. scale i	& date) USGS	7.5	min. Pensa	acola, I	1970	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						809 = =
Latitude	e and Longitude:						÷.
		· · ·		·	M		800 = =
Site Siz	e (Approx. Acreage o	·····		,	n 		<u>800 = =</u> <u>833 = =</u>
Site Siz	e (Approx. Acreage (	·····					
,		of Property): <u>LT</u>		, Township	Range	Section	
,	e (Approx. Acreage of TION SKETCH OR M	of Property): <u>L.T</u>	  	Township	Range		833 = =
,		of Property): <u>LT</u>	  	Township	Range 30W	Section	
,		of Property): <u>L.T</u>	  	Township	Range 30W		833 = =
,		of Property): <u>L.T</u>	  	Township	Range 30W		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
,		of Property): <u>L.T</u> AAP <i>LA RUA</i>	  	Township	Range 30W hates:		833 = =
,		of Property): <u>L.T</u>	  	Township 2S UTM Coordin	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
LOCA		of Property): <u>L.T</u> AAP <i>LA RUA</i>	  	Township 2S UTM Coordin	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
LOCA		AP LA RUA BELMONT	  	Township 2S UTM Coordin	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
,		of Property): <u>L.T</u> AAP <i>LA RUA</i>	  	Township 2S UTM Coordin	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
LOCA		AP LA RUA BELMONT	  	Township 2S UTM Coordin	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
LOCA		AP LA RUA BELMONT	  	Township 2S UTM Coordin	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
LOCA		AP LA RUA BELMONT	  	Township 2S UTM Coordin	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
LOCA		AP LA RUA BELMONT	  	Township 2S UTM Coordin	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
LOCA		AP LA RUA BELMONT	  	Township 2S UTM Coordin	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =
LOCA 1/rcaniz		OF Property): I.T AAP LA RUA BELMONT NRIGHT	1	Township 2S UTM Coordin Zone Easting	Range 30W hates:		<u>833 = =</u> 812 = =

i.

Contact Print



### 412 E. Belmont Street



City of Pensacola America's First Settlement And Most Historic City

### Architectural Review Board Application Full Board Review

	Application Date: 12-17-2019	
Project Address:	12 E Belmont - Final	
Applicant:	aCoste Construction Group, LLC	
Applicant's Address:	1400 Bayou Blvd, Suite 41, Pensacola, FL 32503	_
Email:	Jesse @ / acosteconstruction. com Phone: 850-332-5157	
Property Owner:	LaCoste Construction Group, LLC	_
District:	(If different from Applicant) PHD NHPD OEHPD PHBD GCD	Comments of
Application is hereby m	de for the project as described herein:	
	estead – \$50.00 hearing fee er Residential – \$250.00 hearing fee	
* An application chall be	askeduled to be bened as a effect independent of been been a busisted and it is	

\* An application shall be scheduled to be heard once all required materials have been submitted and it is deemed complete by the Secretary to the Board. You will need to include fourteen (14) copies of the

required information. Please see pages 3 – 4 of this application for further instruction and information.

#### Project specifics/description:

Residential addition and renovation

Add 600 square feet addition at rear elevation, addition to be 1 story with rear deck


Alteration to existing 2nd story floor plan to accommodate additional bathroom

*I, the undersigned applicant, understand that payment of these fees does not entitle me to approval and that no refund of these fees will be made. I have reviewed the applicable zoning requirements and understand that I must be present on the date of the Architectural Review Board meeting.* 

Applicant Signature

2-19-19

Planning Services 222 W. Main Street \* Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 435-1670 Mail to: P.O. Box 12910 \* Pensacola, Florida 32521




PLOT DATE Jan 3, 2020


PR

RAWN BY

CHECKED BY

SHEET NUMBER C1.0 PROJECT NUMBER 2019087






7604 W. Fairfield Dr - Pensacola, FL 32506 Phone # (850) 470-9722 - Fax # (850) 470-9661 - www.LMEngr.com FLPE 36946 - ALPE 21755 - FLCA 7378 - ALCA 2977 FLCGC 060900


Pensacola, FL

The purchase of this document does not constitute ownership of the document, but serves as a fee for the one time right to construct the design contained herein. Any unauthorized reproduction, reuse, or modification of this document will be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.


seal of a professional engineer



C Existing Rear Elevation Scale: 1/4" = 1'-0"





B Existing Right Elevation Scale: 1/4" = 1'-0"




A2.0


2 OF 8





The purchase of this document does not constitute ownership of the document, but serves as a fee for the one time right to construct the design contained herein. Any unauthorized reproduction, reuse, or modification of this document will be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.





The purchase of this document does not constitute ownership of the document, but serves as a fee for the one time right to construct the design contained herein. Any unauthorized reproduction, reuse, or modification of this document will be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.




A Proposed Front Elevation Scale: 1/4" = 1'-0"






2 OF 8







### 412 E. Belmont Street Materials

Front Door and Railing Stain – Common Espresso



Exterior Siding Color – SW 7613 Aqua Sphere

Window Trim Color – to be white

Roofing Materials – 30-years Timberline shingles



Light Fixtures – product specs / info TBD Light Fixture Color – SW 6992 Inkwell



Windows -3/1 wood clad vinyl units, various sizes to match existing. All others (per elevations) will be fixed. Product specs and brand to follow.





Huee over One's #1 Double Hung Clad Wood Composite - Stamed to match Front Deor

#2 Window Hum to be White

 $Doors - 72''(w) \ge 80''(h)$  Custom fiberglass wood looking exterior doors. Requesting to submit front doors through Abbreviated Review since they will be custom made and designed.





### Architectural Review Board

### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:	Architectural Review Board Members
FROM:	<i>GH</i> Gregg Harding, Historic Preservation Planner
DATE:	January 8, 2020
SUBJECT:	New Business - Item 4 200 W. Jackson Street NHPD / PR-2 Variance / Contributing Structure

### BACKGROUND

James and Michelle English are requesting a Variance to increase the maximum allowed rear yard coverage from 25% (625 sf) to 27.36% (684 sf) to accommodate a detached garage with second-story living quarters. The applicants initially requested two (2) Variances to decrease the required west side yard setback from 7.5 feet to 2 feet and to increase the maximum allowed rear yard coverage from 25% (625 sf) to 33% (825 sf). These requests were tabled at the December 2019 meeting. Since then, the applicants have revised and significantly reduced their requests based on suggestions made by the Board and due to the configuration of the property.

This item is under consideration with Item 5.

Please find attached all relevant documentation for your review.

### RECOMMENDED CODE SECTIONS

Sec. 12-2-10(B)(8) Regulations for new construction and additions to existing structures in North Hill Sec. 12-2-31(D) Accessory uses and structure standards Table 12-2.9 Regulations for the North Hill Preservation Zoning Districts Sec. 12-12-2(A)(2) Variances Sec. 12-13-3(E)(1) ARB, Conditions for granting a zoning variance Sec. 12-2-41(A)(c) Yard requirements (in reference to permitting the placement and location of the outside stairway)

### 222 West Main Street Pensacola, Florida 32502 www.cityofpensacola.com

FLORIDA	MASTER	SITE FILE
<b>0</b> !!	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>F</b>

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE Division of Atchives, History	LORIDA MASTER SITE FIL		
and Records Management DS-HSP-3AAA Rev 3-79	Site Inventory Form	FDAHRM 802	
00-13F3AAA Nev 379	Site	NO. 75 340	
Site Name Menefee, E.	<u>W. House</u> 830 = = S	urvey Date 8005 820	= =
Address of Site:200 W	Jackson St., Pensaco	La <u>, FL 32501 905</u>	= =
	W corner of W. Jackson	<u>1 St. and N.</u> 813	= =
Baylen St. Location: Belmont Tra	act 25	port. 19-22 868	
subdivision name County: Escambia	block no.	lot no. 808	
Owner of Site: Name: Hart	tline, George A. Estat		<u> </u>
Address: 200	W. Jackson St.		1
Pens	<u>sacola, FL 32501</u>	902	
Type of Ownership	<u>rate 848 = =</u> Recording Di	ate 832	= =
TTO D D	norn, Alan; Guedez, Su	san	i
Address: <u>HPPB</u>		818	
Condition of Site: Integrity	of Site: Original Us	e residence 838	and the second second
	0		
		e <u>residence</u> 850	
	D4:00: D0g	inningc <u>+1888</u> 844:	
	Devis Loop	se <u>American</u> 840: h Century 845:	
	······································	n-century	<u> </u>
	()(Date:)()858 == =		
	)(Date: )( )858 = =	916 -	
NR Classification Category: _	District	910.	
Threats to Site: Check One or More			
Zoning ()	)() 878 = = [] Transportation (	)( )878	3==
Development ( )(		)( )878	
Deterioration ( )(		Х )878	
Borrowing ()(			
Other (See Remarks Below):	878 = =		
		910 =	
Areas of Significance: <u>Ar</u>	chitecture, history		
of Pensacola's n E.W. Menefee. M From 1894 to 191	Anne style house was b ineteenth-century lumb enefee resided here un 2, it was the home of e Warren Fish Company.	er barons, til 1893. J. Frank Taylor,	
	SER SITE FILE STA ORIGINAL PHOTO(S)	FF FOR OR MAP(S)	

911 = =

ARCHITECT unknown		,		872 =
BUILDER unknown			·	874 =
STYLE AND/OR PERIOD Queen Anne	<u>}</u>			964 =
PLAN TYPE irregular			<del>.</del>	966 =
EXTERIOR FABRIC(S) wood; clapboard				854 =
STRUCTURAL SYSTEM(S) wood frame				856 =
PORCHES N/2 tiered gabled porch				
verandah, enclosed except fo	or entrance	eway; a	ccess S	942 =
FOUNDATION: pier; brick				942 =
ROOFTYPE: gable				942 =
SECONDARY ROOF STRUCTURE(S): 1 story	shed ext.	E	*	942 =
CHIMNEYLOCATION: W lat slope; 2 on	<u>center ri</u>	dge;E	<u>lat slop</u>	<u>e 942 =</u>
WINDOW TYPE: DHS; 4/4; 2/2; wood				942 =
CHIMNEY brick; stuccoed				882 =
ROOF SURFACING: composition shing1	e; butt			882 =
ORNAMENT EXTERIOR: architrave trim;	brackets			882 =
NO. OF CHIMNEYS 4 952 = =	NO. OF STOP	RIES	2	950 =
NO. OF DORMERS 0				954 =
Map Reference (incl. scale & date) USGS 7.5 North Hill, Gordon Richmond,	MIN Pensa	icola 1	970	
North Hill, Gordon Richmond,	1974	·		000 -
Latitude and Longitude:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<i></i>
Latitude and Longitude:	1	H		800 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT	1		Section	800 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OB MAP	, Township	" Range	Section	809 = 800 = 833 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OB MAP	, Township 2S	, Range 30W		<u>800 =</u> 833 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OR MAP	, Township	, Range 30W	Section	<u>800 =</u> 833 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OB MAP LOCATION SKETCH OB MAP LOCATION SKETCH OB MAP	, Township 2S	, Range 30W	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OR MAP	, Township 2S	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OB MAP	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OR MAP	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OR MAP	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OR MAP	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OR MAP	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OB MAP	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OB MAP	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OB MAP	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT LOCATION SKETCH OR MAP	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates:	Section	800 = 833 = 812 =
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LT	, Township 2S UTM Coordi	" Range 30W nates: Northing	Section	800 =

. •



#### 200 W. Jackson Street





- **Zoning Board of Adjustment**
- □ Architectural Review Board
- □ Planning Board
- □ Gateway Review Board

#### VARIANCE APPLICATION

#### A COMPLETE APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

- A. One (1) copy of this completed application form. (*Please type or print in ink.*)
- B. Site plan and/or survey showing the following details:\*
  - 1. Abutting street(s)
  - 2. Lot dimensions and yard requirements (setbacks)
  - 3. Location and dimensions of all existing structures
  - 4. Location and dimensions of all proposed structures and/or additions
  - 5. Dimension(s) of requested variance(s)
- C. Other supporting documentation (drawings, photographs, etc) to support request(s).\*
- D. A non-refundable application fee of **\$500.00.**

#### \* The Applicant must provide eleven (11) copies of any documents larger than 8½ x 11 or in color. **Maximum page size for all submitted material should be 11" x 17" to allow for processing and distribution.**

#### (To be Completed by Staff)

Provision(s) of Zoning Ordinance from which the variance(s) is/are being requested:

Section(s)/ Tables(s)	ec. 12-2-31(D)(a)(2)	<b>Zoning</b> R-2
-----------------------	----------------------	-------------------

(To be Completed by Applicant)

The Applicant requests consideration of the following variance request(s):

**Property Address:** 

200 W. Jackson Street

Current use of property:

Single Family Residence

1. Describe the requested variance(s): Increase the maximum allowed rear yard coverage from

25% (625 sf) to 27.36% (684) to accommodate a detached garage with second-story living space.

2. Describe the special condition(s) existing on this property which create(s) the need for the variance(s), but which are not applicable to other properties in the same district and which are not the results of the applicant's actions:

(see attached)

3. Explain why the requested variance(s) is/are necessary to permit the property owner to obtain the right commonly enjoyed by other property owners in the same district:

(See attached)

4. Explain why the requested variance(s) is/are not detrimental to the general welfare or to property rights of others in the vicinity:

(See attached)

5.	Explain what other condition(s) may justify the proposed variance(s):	
	(See attached)	

	Application Date: 1/ /22/19
Applicant:	Michelle : James English 200 W. Jackson St.
Applicant's Address:	200 W. Jackson St.
Email:	English do @ me, con, Phone: 9048063919
Applicant's Signature:	
Property Owner:	MAL
Property Owner's Address:	SAM
Email:	Phone:
Property Owner's Signature:	Might

The City of Pensacola adheres to the Americans with Disabilities Act and will make reasonable modifications for access to City Services, programs, and activities. Please call 435-1600 for further information. Requests must be made at least 48 hours in advance of the event in order to allow the City time to provide the requested services.

Planning Services 222 W. Main Street \* Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 435-1670 Mail to: P.O. Box 12910 \* Pensacola, Florida 32521

	The purpose and nature of the requested Variance remains
	the same. However, the scope of request for a Variance has
Variance Criteria	been reduced to only include a request to increase the
	maximum allowed rear yard coverage from 25% (625 sf) to
200 W. Jackson Street	27.36% (684 sf).

**Owners: James and Michelle English** 


We are requesting the variance to build a detached garage with a second-story dwelling at their permanent home. The structure will be similar in character and design to the existing house and will be harmonious with the character of the area.

- 1. The variances requested are to decrease the required west side yard setback from 7.5' to 2' and to increase the maximum allowed rear yard coverage from 25 % (625 sf) to 33% (825 sf) to accommodate a rear detached garage with second-story living quarters.
- 2. A large portion of the original lot was subdivided decades ago. This resulted in a diminished rear yard with significant limitations that pre-existed when we purchased the property. The rear area currently consists entirely of crushed gravel that was the original driveway. We have attempted to purchase the adjacent property, which originally belonged with our home, at above market value; however, the current owner declined. Because of the limited space, there are no areas for storage and we are required to keep and maintain our lawn equipment and various items underneath a temporary tent which does not complement the house or neighborhood. Including a second level also matches the style of the main house.
- 3. Since the limited rear area pre-existed when we purchased the property in July, 2019, it is not a self-created hardship. It is no fault of our own that the original property was subdivided and eliminated the rear yard.
- 4. Granting the variance to allow us to add a garage and stairs to reach the second-story accessory dwelling is not a special privilege. Other homes within the neighborhood have been permitted to construct garages with a second-story dwelling in a limited rear yard. Currently, the limited space deprives us of reasonable storage since a number of items such as a lawn equipment are currently being stored underneath a tent. Denying this request would continue to impose a hardship on us by not allowing them to enjoy the same property rights for expanding and improving upon their property.
- 5. We are only asking for the minimum variance amount that will make it possible to use the yard in a reasonable way. Granting the variances to increase the allowable coverage within the rear yard and to allow stairs to be placed within the 5' setback are necessary for the addition of a two-car garage and second-story dwelling in relation to the primary structure. This minimum variance will allow us to build a garage to store our two cars as well as other items.
- 6. The granting of this variance will allow a garage and second-story dwelling to occupy the current driveway on the west side of the property. This structure will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare. The variance will allow us to securely store our cars, lawn equipment, and other items safely and in an aesthetically pleasing way that complements the neighborhood.
- 7. Granting the variance will allow us to construct a detached garage and second-story dwelling in the existing driveway on the south west corner of their lot. The granting of the variance will not impair supply of light an air to the adjacent property; it will not impose a danger of fire as the Florida Building Code permits such structures. The garage will in fact increase the property values and the appraisal of the house.

Additional Criteria per Sec. 12-13-3(E)(1):


- (a) Granting this Variance will not detract from the architectural integrity or historical accuracy of the development and its surroundings since the garage will be built to match and blend with the primary residence.
- (b) Granting this Variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose and will not be injurious to the area involved or to public welfare. The addition of the garage will allow us to store items and equipment safely since they are now being stored underneath a temporary tent.

## **Current Site Plan at** 200 W. Jackson Street



Current Plan

D-100'





## TOM HUNT RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS, INC.

945 West Michigan Avenue, Unit 3B Pensacola, Florida 32505 850-438-2300 | TomHuntDesigns.com

SITE PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"

## JIM & MICHELLE ENGLISH

200 West Jackson Street Pensacola, Florida 32501





#### Architectural Review Board

#### **MEMORANDUM**

SUBJECT:		New Business - Item 5 200 W. Jackson Street NHPD / PR-2 Contributing Structure
DATE:		January 8, 2020
FROM:	GH	Gregg Harding, Historic Preservation Planner
TO:		Architectural Review Board Members

#### BACKGROUND

James and Michelle English are requesting approval for exterior modifications to a contributing structure and to add a detached two-car garage with second-story living quarters. Modifications to the property include removing the air-conditioning units and screening along the east yard, removing the gravel driveway along the east yard to the west driveway, removing the palms (2) in the northwest corner of the property, and landscaping. A request to remove the exterior rear stairs was approved by the Board in December 2019.

The proposed detached garage with second story living space will be built to match and complement the primary structure with a matching paint palette and similar style. The structure will be sided with Hardie Cedarmill Lap Siding and covered with 30-year asphalt shingles. The new windows will be CWS white 1/1 single hung vinyl and the exterior doors are to be fiberglass. Additionally, all railings and brackets will be wood and the garage doors will be steel. This item is under consideration with Item 4.

Please find attached all relevant documentation for your review.

#### RECOMMENDED CODE SECTIONS

Sec. 12-2-10(B)(8) Regulations for new construction and additions to existing structures in North Hill

Sec. 12-2-10(B)(6) Restoration, rehabilitation, alterations or additions to existing contributing structures in North Hill

### 222 West Main Street Pensacola, Florida 32502 www.cityofpensacola.com

FLORIDA	MASTER	SITE	FILE
Cite I		Farm	

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE Division of Archives, History	FLORIDA MAS			
and Records Management	Site Invent	tory Form	FDAHRM	802 = =
DS-HSP-3AAA Rev 3-79		Site N	. Sc 340	1009 = =
Site Name Menefee, 1	E.W. House		ey Date 8005	820 = =
Address of Site:200 1	W. Jackson St	. Pensacola	FL 32501	905 = =
Instruction for locating on	<u>NW corner of</u>	W. Jackson Ś	t. and N.	
Baylen St. Location: Belmont T:		25 00	-+ 10 22	813 = =
LOCATION: DETINOTIUT		2.5 po block no.	ort. 19-22 lot no.	868 = =
County: Escambia			m	808 = =
Owner of Site: Name: Ha:	<u>rtline, Georg</u>	<u>e A. Estate o</u>	<u>f</u>	1
Address: 201	nsacola, FL 3			902 = =
Type of Ownership	$\frac{15aCOIA}{1vate}$ 848 = =	Recording Date		832 = =
Recorder:		C C		
11-0-0		Guedez, Susan	L	
Address: <u>HPPB</u>		<u> </u>	·	818 = =
Condition of Site: Integrit	ty of Site:	Original Use	residence	838 = =
Condition of Site. Integri	ly of Sile.	Original Use	105100000	
	ck One or More	Present Use	<u>residence</u>	850 = =
Excellent 863 = = Altere		Dutoo, Dogini	i <b>ng</b> <u>c</u> + 1888	844 = =
	ered 858 =			840 = =
Fair 863 = Origin	al Site 858 =	_ Period <u>20th</u> (	lentury	845 = =
Deteriorated 863 = Restor	ed()(Date:)()858=			
Moved	()(Date:)()858=	=		
NR Classification Category:	District			916 = <i>=</i>
Threats to Site:				
Check One or More				
Zoning ( )(		Transportation ( )(		)()878 = =
Development ()(		□ <u>Fill ( )(</u>		)( )878 = =
Deterioration ( )(	)( ) 878 = =	Dredge ( )(		)( ) 878 = =
[] Borrowing (_)(	)( ) 878 = =			
Other (See Remarks Below):	<u></u>	878 == =		
Areas of Significance: <u>A</u>	rchitecture;	History		910 = =
Significance:				1
				-
m1 : 0	A	the second have	t for one	
This Queen	Anne style r	nouse was buil	herence	
of Pensacola's E.W. Menefee.	Monefee resid	led here unti	1893	
From 1894 to 19	12 it was th	he home of J.	Frank Tavlo	or.
an officer of t	he Warren Fis	sh Company.	, ,	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~ 1		
				}
			_	
	889 A14	EFILE STAFF	FOR	ſ
	ORIGINAL	PHOTO(S) OR	( MAP(S)	
	······································			1

911 = =

ARCHITECT unknown			,		87
BUILDER unknown					87
STYLE AND/OR PERIOD Queen	Anne				96
PLAN TYPE irregular					96
EXTERIOR FABRIC(S) wood; clapb	oard .				85
STRUCTURAL SYSTEM(S) _wood_fram				<del></del>	85
PORCHES N/2 tiered gabled po					
verandah, enclosed excep	pt for	entrance	way; ac	ccess S	94
FOUNDATION: pier; brick					94
ROOF TYPE: gable					94
SECONDARY ROOF STRUCTURE(S): 1 st				*	94
CHIMNEY LOCATION: W lat slope;		<u>center ri</u>	dge;E	<u>lat slop</u>	<u>e 94</u>
WINDOW TYPE DHS; 4/4; 2/2; WO	ood				94
CHIMNEY brick; stuccoed					88
ROOF SURFACING: composition sh				·······	88
ORNAMENT EXTERIOR: architrave t	trim; ł	<u>orackets</u>			88
NO. OF CHIMNEYS 4 952 =	<u>= = N</u>	O. OF STOP	IES	2	95
NO. OF DORMERS 0				<u> </u>	95
Map Reference (incl. scale & date) USGS	57.5N	<u>AIN Pensa</u>	cola 19	970	
North Hill, Gordon Richm	nond, 1	1974	`a,		80
		••••			
o / //	• LT	.1	n 25		80
Latitude and Longitude: Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): LOCATION SKETCH OR MAP	0	••••	" . Range	Section	80
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):	0	, . <u>1</u>		Section	<u>80</u> 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, <u>1</u> Township	. Range 30W		<u>80</u> 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, 1 Township 2S	. Range 30W		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, 1 Township 2S	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates:		80 83 81 89
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property):		, Township 2S UTM Coordi	. Range 30W nates: Northing		80 83

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1$ 

. .



#### 200 W. Jackson Street



City of Pensacola America's First Settlement And Most Historic City

#### Gateway Review Board Application Full Board Review

	Application Date: <u>9-16-2019</u>	_
Project Address:	200 W. Jackson St	_
Applicant:	James + Michelle English	_
Applicant's Address:	200 W. Jackson ST Rensacola FI 32501	
Email:	english Vin@mae.com Phone: 904-861-7418	_
Property Owner:	(If different from Applicant)	

Application is hereby made for the project as described herein:

Residential – \$50.00 hearing fee Commercial – \$250.00 hearing fee

\* An application shall be scheduled to be heard once all required materials have been submitted and it is deemed complete by the Secretary to the Board. You will need to include eleven (11) copies of the required information. Please see pages 3 - 4 of this application for further instruction and information.

#### Project specifics/description:


GARGE To Be added W/ covered walkway and Socond Floor inlow suite 26+26 Removed To Replace Arra with Powers To Both Fences + Remove Fense line Along Spring Stand Front of house Plant Alons w/ sod Remove gravel on Rt side of house To Replant of house on Garage Remove side color. Match Primary house, materials To Be like house AS Passible


*I, the undersigned applicant, understand that payment of these fees does not entitle me to approval and that no refund of these fees will be made. I have reviewed the applicable zoning requirements and understand that I must be present on the date of the Gateway Review Board meeting.* 


Applicant Signature

-16-2019

Planning Services 222 W. Main Street \* Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 435-1670 Mail to: P.O. Box 12910 \* Pensacola, Florida 32521









## TOM HUNT RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS, INC.

945 West Michigan Avenue, Unit 3B Pensacola, Florida 32505 850-438-2300 | TomHuntDesigns.com


SITE PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"


## JIM & MICHELLE ENGLISH

200 West Jackson Street Pensacola, Florida 32501







ATION C:\Users\Jeb\Desktop\Softplan Drawings\English\_Jim - 2 Story Garage\English\_Jim (28 X 24 Version) New Versi









28'-0"

3'-6"

2ND	FLOOR PLAN


SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

IST AND 2ND FLOOR	FO	OTAGES
Garage	=	672 sf
2nd Floor Living Area	8	672 sf
TOTAL	8	1344 sf

Area of gravel to be removed (front and east side) and relocated to west drive. Replace with sod.



Area of gravel to be removed (front and east side) and relocated to west drive. Replace with sod.



Palms to be removed (northwest corner)



Palms to be removed (northwest corner)



Materials Packet for 200 W. Jackson Street

Example of box hedges proposed along south and east property line




Example of pavers for rear yard area (to match pavers at front of house)



#### Materials for two-story garage.

#### Siding



#### Roofing – 30-year shingles to match primary structure



## Timberline HD<sup>®</sup> Lifetime High Definition<sup>®</sup> Shingles

North America's #1-selling shingle gives you value and performance in a genuine wood-shake look.

Color/Finish: Birchwood

Paint palette (to match primary structure)

A Benjamin Moore

#### Accent

Heritage Red PM-18 Body

Cliffside Gray PM-5			

#### Trim

Platinum Gray

#### Windows - CWS vinyl white 1/1 low e impact


Search Results - Applications						
<u>FL#</u>	<u>Type</u>	Manufacturer	<u>Validated By</u>	<u>Status</u>		
<u>FL5823-R8</u> <u>History</u>	Revision	Custom Window Systems Inc. FL#: FL5823.1 Model: 8100 Vinyl Single Hung Description: 8100 Vinyl Single Hung (Impact) Category: Windows Subcategory: Single Hung	Steven M. Urich, PE (717) 317-8454	Approved		

\*Approved by DBPR. Approvals by DBPR shall be reviewed and ratified by the POC and/or the Commission if necessary.

#### WINDPACT PLUS® SERIES 8100 SINGLE HUNG

The **WindPact Plus®** single hung has been designed specifically for the costal impact-resistant market not to tilt in, resulting in the strongest and safest vinyl impact-resistant single hung on the market. The sash recesses back into the frame, allowing for a much higher design pressure, instead of relying on small tilt latches to keep your sash intact when impacted. The average weight of a sash in an impact-resistant window is 35 lbs. By not tilting in, you are protected from a very heavy piece of glass to support.





#### Entry Doors – Thermatru smooth fiberglass 6 panel impact

Search Res	Search Results - Applications							
FL#	<u>Type</u>	Manufacturer	Validated By	<u>Status</u>				
<u>FL5891-R6</u> <u>History</u>	Revision	Therma-Tru Corporation FL#: FL5891.2 Model: b. "SmoothStar" Description: 6'8 "Impact" Opaque Fiberglass Single Door (X) Inswing or Outswing Configuration Category: Exterior Doors Subcategory: Swinging Exterior Door Assemblies	Ryan J. King, P.E. (813) 767-6555	Approved				

\*Approved by DBPR. Approvals by DBPR shall be reviewed and ratified by the POC and/or the Commission if necessary.

Smooth-Star® > 6 Panel   Style No. S210 <b>6</b>	
7 Available Sizes O DESIGN YOUR ENTRYWAY WHERE TO BUY	
Stain and Paint options may vary. Please contact your <u>retailer</u> for a comple offering. -	te list of their
Alpine	~
ଷ୍	

#### Garage Doors – Raynor impact in insulted panel door

Search Results - Applications							
<u>FL#</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Manufacturer</u>	<u>Validated By</u>	<u>Status</u>			
<u>FL14529-R9</u> <u>History</u>	Revision	Raynor Garage Doors FL#: FL14529.2 Model: BuildMark / TradeMark Description: Sectional pan type garage door up to and including 16'-0" wide Category: Exterior Doors Subcategory: Sectional Exterior Door Assemblies	John E. Scates, PE (972) 492-9500	Approved			

\*Approved by DBPR. Approvals by DBPR shall be reviewed and ratified by the POC and/or the Commission if necessary.



#### Classic Look, Unyielding Strength

The combination of durable, 24 gauge steel, defined raised panel styling and authentic woodgrain texture gives your home fantastic curb appeal with TradeMark" garage doors. Add charm with colonial or ranch panels, or for a distinctive look on your home, choose the recessed colonial grooved option.



#### Hardware

Track formed from strong galvanized steel, paired with durable, long-wearing steel rollers ensures smooth, quiet operation.

#### Insulation

Optional energy-saving core of polystyrene insulation provides added comfort and helps keep your garage quieter.



#### Section Joints

Strong, roll-formed tongue-and-groove meeting rails seal out wind, rain, and snow, keeping your garage dry and secure.


Authentic woodgrain texture on the door's



## exterior adds visual appeal.

Authentic Woodgrain

#### Panel Options



anch	



Recessed Colonial Grooved

#### Window Options

Snap-In Inserts	
Class Column	Clear Ranch
2525	-
Sherwood	Sonburst Ranch
(Autor)	Prairie Ranch
A 4 4 4	STATE REAL
Cathediai	Arched Stockbridge Ranch
242 242-242 242	DISES DISES
Waterton	Waterton Ranch
RE SE SE SE	
Casicada	Cascade Ranch
BE BE SH TH	HARRY REALLY
Stockton	Shukton Ranch
BRANK BRANK	ACCESS OF A LOSS OF
Statckbridge Ranch	Arched Stockton Ranch



#### Colors



#### Optional



Raynor's OptiFinish paint process allows your TradeMark door to be customized with more than 1,800 Sherwin-Williams colors.

#### **Glass** Options



#### Magnetic Hardware

Raynor's many window and decorative hardware options add the finishing touches to your TradeMark door. These small details customize the door to your home and increase curb appeal.

**Magnetic Sets** 




Manor Handle & Strap Kit 4051100

Mission Handles & Strap Kit 4051101

Colonial Handle & Strap Kit 4051102

#### 200 W. Jackson Street – Added Materials





#### Architectural Review Board

#### MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:	New Business - Item 6 2 N. Palafox Street PHBD / C-2A Contributing Structure	
DATE:	January 8, 2020	
FROM:	分份 Gregg Harding, Historic Preservation Pla	anner
TO:	Architectural Review Board Members	

#### BACKGROUND

The UWF Historic Trust is requesting modifications to a previously approved project. This project received final approval in July 2019 which depicted "A Plan of the Town of Pensacola 1767". The Minutes and motion from that meeting is included in this packet. The new mural shows an image of Pensacola as it appeared in 1896. This project was denied during an Abbreviated Review due to the inclusion of the UWF Historic Trust's name and logo causing the mural to be classified as signage.

Please find attached all relevant documentation for your review.

#### RECOMMENDED CODE SECTIONS

Sec. 12-2-21(F)(1) PHBD, Architectural review of proposed exterior development Sec. 12-14-1 Definitions enumerated, Sign - Sign means any device, display or structure, or part thereof, which advertises, identifies, displays, directs or attracts attention to an object, person, institution, organization, business, product, service, event or location by the use of words, letters, figures, designs, symbols, fixtures, colors, illumination or projected images.

### 222 West Main Street Pensacola, Florida 32502 www.cityofpensacola.com

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STA		IDA MAST	ER SITE	FILE		,
Division of Archives, His and Records Managem		Site Invente	ory Form		FDAHRM	802 = =
DS-HSP-3AAA	Rev. 3-79		-		S- 1000	1009 = =
Cite Name			830 = =	Site No.		820 = =
Site Name Address of Site:1		Ct Davage			Date 8210	905 = =
Instruction for locati	<u>s E. Garden</u>	<u>St., Pensa</u> F Carden i	<u>cola, fl</u> St betwe	<u>32301</u> en N Pa	lafox St a	
Jefferson_St.		H. Guluen	<u>ot. Detwe</u>		ilutor be. c	813 = =
	city tract	Arpent	lts.	Port.	54	868 = =
subo	division name	b	lock no.		lot no.	
County: _Escambia		<u> </u>				= = 808
Owner of Site: Nan						L
Address:		rden Stree a, FL 3250		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	902 = =
Type of Ownershi				Date	·	832 = =
Recorder:	J <u>IIIVate</u>		Recolding			
Name & Title:	Marcille, R	luth				:
Address:	HPPB				······································	
						818 = =
Condition of Site:	Integrity of Si	ite:	Origina	Use _ Co	mmercial	838 = =
Check One	Check One o	r More				050
Excellent 863 = =	Altered	858 = =			ommercial	850 = =
Good 863 ≃ =	Unaltered	858 = =	- Dates.		9 <u>C +1910</u> American	<u>844 = =</u> 840 = =
			Dested	20th Cer		845 = =
<u>Fair863 = </u> =	Original Site	858 ≃ =	-		Icury	
Deteriorated 863 = =	Restored ( ) (D.		-			
	Moved () (Date		-			
NR Classification C	ategory: <u>Bui</u>	<u>lding</u>				916 = =
Threats to Site:						
Check O	ne or More					
			Transporta	tion()(		)( )878 = =
Development ( )(		)( ) 878 = =	□ <u>Fill(_)(</u>			)( )878 = =
Deterioration ( )(		)( )878 = =	Dredge (	(		)() 878 = =
Borrowing ( )(	<u>-</u>	)( ) 878 = =				
Other (See Remarks Be	low):		878 = =			
Areas of Significa	nce:Arch	<u>itecture</u> ,	Commerce			910 = =
Significance:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		]
This mod	lest masonry	vernacular	structur	e dates	to about 19	910
when J.M. Muld	lon acquired	this valua	ble real	estate.	It was	ļ
originally occ	upied by a t	ailor and	an ice cr	eam com	pany.	l l
ł						4
ł						ł

## SEE SITE FILE STAFF FOR ORIGINAL PHOTO(S) OR MAP(S)

911 = =

•

ARCHITECT Unknown				872 = =
BUILDER Unknown				874 = =
STYLE AND/OR PERIOD				964 = =
PLAN TYPE Rectangular				966 = =
EXTERIOR FABRIC(S) Stucco: smooth # br	ick · running		o: textur	
STRUCTURAL SYSTEM(S) Masonry: brick				856 = =
PORCHES				
			······································	942 = =
FOUNDATION: Continuous: stucco & bric	k			942 = =
ROOF TYPE: Flat: built up with parapet				942 = =
SECONDARY ROOF STRUCTURE(S):(Marquee)	flat: metal			942 = =
CHIMNEY LOCATION:				942 = =
WINDOW TYPE: Fixed				942 = =
CHIMNEY:				882 = =
ROOF SURFACING: Built up				882 = =
ORNAMENTEXTERIOR: Tile: (indented)				882 = =
NO. OF CHIMNEYS 0 952 = =	NO. OF STOP	IIES 1		950 = =
NO. OF DORMERS 0				
Map Reference (incl. scale & date)	min. Pensaco	la 1970		
	· ·			809 = =
Latitude and Longitude:	, ,			202
				800 = =
Site Size (Approx. Acreage of Property): <u>Lt. 1</u>				833 = =
	Township	Range	Section	1
LOCATION SKETCH OR MAP E Chase St		nango		
	25	30W	46	812 = =
	UTM Coordii	nates:	1_,,,,,	
	Zone Easting	Northing		890 = =

E. Garden St

860 = =

Photographic Records Numbers <u>HPPB P. 83,7 Frame 33</u>

Contact Print

#### 2 N. Palafox Street



Architectural Review Board Abbreviated Review Application

-



Project Address:		2 N Palafox UWF Historic Trust			Date:	Date:		
					Phon	595-5985 e:		
Applic	ant's Address:	120 Cł	nurch Street					
Proper	ty Owner:	Gulf B	ue Group					
			(If different from Applicant)					
Distric	et: PHD	)	NHPD	OEHPD	PHBD	GCD		
There	is a \$25 Applica	tion Fe	e for the follow	ving project ty	pes:			
	Change of		Body:					
	Paint Color(s)		Trim:					
			Accent:					
	New/Replacem	ent						
	Sign(s)							
X	Minor Deviation to		Final mural image se					
ι AL	an Approved Pr		Description.					
	Change of Roofing Materi	al						
2		The Constant of the State	(0	<b>FFICE USE</b> )				
The ree	denied quest is <del>approved</del>	as follo	ows:		Meets requiremen -GH 12/20/2019	nts for Abbreviated Review.		
class appro class histo APPRO DENII	oved. The place ify this sign as a ric district.	ign inst ement an outc	ead of the wal of this sign on loor advertising ARB A	I mounted, hi a building no g sign per 12 Architect	storic, murals wh t housing the en -4-5, this sign typ 	of the UWF Historic Trust hich have previously been tity of the historic trust would be is not permitted in the		
APPRO	OVED:					Fax: 595-5989		

WFHP Representative

Revised 07-2007

P.O. Box 12910 \* Pensacola, Florida 32521 Phone (850) 436-5655 \* Fax (850) 595-1143

# \*\* A PLAN of the Town of Penfacola 1767. EAST GARDEN DISTRICT PAY PAR

## ARB Approved without "East Garden District" and logo - July 2019

City of Pensacola Architectural Review Board Minutes for July 18, 2019 Page 6

proposed modifications to the exterior of that wall. Mr. Marshall pointed out there was an existing cast iron aluminum column which would be exposed with a wall built behind it for a logical transition at that corner from the new painted brick and stucco front on the Palafox elevation. This would be a very similar detail to the end of the block. He explained the stucco would wrap around the exposed column, with the new brick beginning on the south face running to the west. Mr. Salter asked if they would consider breaking up the horizontal window on the west elevation and southern corner into smaller square windows, and Mr. Marshall had no objection. **Mr. Mead made a motion to approve with the window modifications, seconded by Ms. Campbell-Hatler. With no speakers, the motion carried unanimously.** 

#### 2 N. Palafox Street

#### **Contributing Structure**

Item 9

#### Action taken: Approved with comments.

The UWF Historic Trust is requesting FINAL approval to install a large building wallscape on the east side of a contributing structure. Mr. Harding explained according to the LDC, this wallscape was considered signage. Mr. Pristera presented to the Board and advised he had informed the client that the logo and East Garden District lettering would have to be removed. He advised they were trying to tilt the map, and Ms. Campbell-Hatler thought the map itself was interesting but thought some type of orientation to show it was a map was preferable. Mr. Mead suggested taking the actual text of the historical map and say "Plan - Town of Pensacola – 1767" which simply identifies the map and could be lettered in the same typography as illustrated in the three panels. Ms. Campbell-Hatler thought having the wayfinding direction at the bottom right-hand corner would punctuate what it actually is. Mr. Harding then read the LDC definition of signage. Mr. Mead explained he could make the argument that it is not a sign because it is not attracting anyone to the thing that it is, because that thing that it is, is the place where you are; it is basically a "you are here" but 1767. Mr. Harding also explained it was an off-premise sign (off of the property) which was not allowed in this zone. Ms. Statler pointed out even though they were looking at it as a historic map and citing what might have been on it, there was also a development by that same name. Mr. Pristera advised they could remove the logo and lettering and enlarge the name of the map and the date. Mr. Mead made a motion to approve, removing the East Garden District lettering, removing the logo and using the original text identifying the map "A Plan of the Town of Pensacola 1767" in an appropriate reconfigured way in the three-panel presentation with the same orientation of the map. Ms. Campbell-Hatler asked that the wayfinding arrow be scaled up at the bottom right. Mr. Mead agreed to that amendment. It was seconded by Ms. Campbell-Hatler, and with no speakers, it carried unanimously.

#### Item 10

201 Zaragoza Street

#### PHD / PHBD HC-1 / Brick Structures

PHBD

C-2A

#### Contributing Structure Action taken: Approved.

The UWF Historic Trust is requesting approval for the installation of a new storefront door. Chairman Quina recused himself. Mr. Pristera stated this was part of a project where the UWF Engineering Department was coming into the Museum of Commerce with two very large milling machines which needed access to the building. They found two rooms being used for storage, and the doors were originally freight doors. They had always wanted to open these doors to make the building look active. They also wanted to use the frameless system since the doors needed security and water protection for storefront uses. He explained this would be the first step in activating that side of the building. He clarified the doors would be used as loading doors to get the machines into the building and then closed afterwards. Chairman Quina explained that eventually they wanted all glass doors, and the shutters

# December 2019 Proposal







#### Architectural Review Board

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:	Architectural Review Board Members
FROM:	GHGregg Harding, Historic Preservation Planner
DATE:	January 14, 2020
SUBJECT:	New Business - Item 7 423 E. Government Street PHD / HC-1 / Wood Cottages Contributing Structure

#### BACKGROUND

Robin Hoban is requesting approval to remove a chimney. This request was denied at the February 2019 meeting with the Board's motion stating that, "the chimney is not to be removed until it is shown to be structurally deficient". The applicant has provided photographs of the chimney and a letter from a structural engineer stating his findings.

Please find attached all relevant documentation for your review.

#### **RECOMMENDED CODE SECTIONS**

Sec. 12-2-10(A)(6)(h) PHD, Contributing Structures, Chimneys Sec. 12-2-10(A)(6) restoration, rehabilitation, alterations or additions to existing contributing structures in the Historic District

Page 1 - Original Update HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM FLORIDA SITE FILE Version 2.0 7/92 Site #8 Recorder # Field Date 4/26/95 Form Date 7/17/95
SITE NAMES (addr. if none)       421-423 E. GOVERNMENT ST.       [MULT. LIST. #8       ]         SURVEY       PENSACOLA HISTORIC DISTRICT       [SURVEY #       ]         NATIONAL REGISTER CATEGORY      building
IOCATION & IDENTIFICATION
ADDRESS (Include N,S,E,W; st., ave., etc.) <u>421-423 E. GOVERNMENT ST.</u> CROSS STREETS nearest/between <u>BETW. S. ALCANIZ ST. AND S. FLORIDA BLANCA ST.</u> NEAREST CITY/TOWN <u>PENSACOLA</u> <u>IN CURRENT CITY LIMITS X yes no</u> COUNTY ESCAMBIA <u>TAX PARCEL # 9001-001-090</u> SUBDIVISION NAME <u>PENSACOLA HIST DIST</u> BLOCK <u>9</u> LOT NO. <u>90, W</u> <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> OWNERSHIP _private-profit _priv-googprofit <u>Xpriv-fadiv</u> _priv-unspecified _city _county _state _ (ederal _ unknown NAME OF PUBLIC TRACT (e.g., park) <u>OLD CITY TRACT</u>
MAPPING
USGS 7.5' MAP NAME 30087-D2-TF-024 1987 TOWNSHIP 2S RANGE 30W SECT. 46 1/4 1/4-1/4 IRREG. SECT.? y n [UTM: ZONE 16 17 EASTING ] ] 0 NORTHING 1 0 7 PLAT OR OTHER MAP (Map's name, location)
DESCRIPTION
SLE FRAM       EXTERIOR PLAN_RECT       NO. STORIES 1.5         STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS WF       WF         FOUNDATION: Types PIER       Materials BRIC         EXTERIOR FABRICS WIBD       BRIC         ROOF: Types HIP       Materials SM3V         Secondary strucs. (dormers etc.)       CHIMNEY : No.1 MaterialsBRIC         LOCATIONS CENTER RIDGE         WINDOWS (types, materials, and placements)       DHS, 6/6, WOOD
MAIN ENTRANCE (stylistic details) PORCHES: #open #closed #incised Locations SOUTH/ 1 STORY, 4 BAY ACCESS Porch roof types FROM SOUTH EXTERIOR ORNAMENT
INTERIOR PLANCONDITION:excellent _%goodfairdeterioratedruinous SURROUNDINGS (N-None, S-Some, M-Most, A-All or nearly all)commercial Sresidentialinstitutionalrural ANCILLARY FEATURES (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features)
ARCHAEOLOGICAL REMAINS AT SITE Archaeological form completed? _ y _ n (No-explain; yes-attach!) Artifacts or other remains
HR6E04606-92 Florida Site File, Div. of Historical Resources, Gray Bldg, 500 S.Bronough, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250/904-487-2299/Sancom 277-2299 244 Caroeumraucria.coc

. . . -

Page 2	HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM Site #8
V ETERETE	HISTORY
CONSTRUCTION DATE	<u>1890</u> CIRCA <u>x yes</u> no
ARCHITECT: (last name	first) UNKNOWN
MOVES _yes _no	Dates Orig.addr
ALTERATIONS yes no	Dates Nature Nature
ORIGINAL USES (give da	tes) RESIDENCE
INTERMEDIATE USES (g PRESENT USES (give date	Dates Orig.addr Dates Nature Dates Nature tes) RESIDENCE / give dates) es) COMMERCIAL - STOR (especially original aware) ELSIE HAMILTON (OPICINAL)
OWNERSHIP HISTORY ( KENNETH H. AND JANE F.	(Specially original owner) LESTE HAPTETON TORTETNAL
	SURVEYORSEVALUATION OF STIE
Potentially elig. for local de	
Individually elig. for Nat. ) Potential contributor to NE	Register? district?
HISTORICAL ASSOCIAT	IONS (ethnic heri
EXPLANATION OF EVAL	CUATION (reg
BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFER	ENCES (Aut
give FSF Manuscript Nu	
32018 8106	UMNEY : No.1 MaterialsRfC DOOWS (types, materials, and placements) 0HS, 6/6, W00D
PHOTOGRAPHS (REQU	IRED) B&W print(s) at least 3 x 3, at least one main facade. Ladel the
back of the print with	the FSF site number (site name if not available), direction and date of Attach to back of the second to last page with a plastic or coated clip.
Location of negatives/ne	g. nos. <u>R12</u> , F23-24
	RECORDER
NAME (last first)/ADDR/P	HONE/AFFILIATION HPPB
FOR DETAILED INSTRU	CTIONS: Guide to the 1992 Historic Structure Form of the Florida Site File.
	====0)ECALEXALUATIONS======DHKUSEONIN
	UR-NR ULIGIBILITIY     y     n     p     n     Date       NR ULIGIBILITIY     y     n     pe     n     Date
DEONIPONTE LOCA	NRELIGIBILITIC: P n pe u Date /////
Loc	al office
REQUIRED: (1) U	* y=Yor: A=NO; P=POLONCIALLY ELIGIBLE: == Insufficient Information SGS MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED
(Z) L/	ARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP HOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3X5
(0/11	TO TO OF MAIN PACADE? PREICER BAW, AT LEAST 3AS



#### 423 E. Government Street

#### February 2019 Agenda Packet Items



#### 6. Remove damaged brick chimney from center roof ridge.

- a. Existing condition: Exposed brick chimney is unused and in poor condition.
- b. Proposed change: Remove chimney and repair surrounding metal roof.
- c. <u>Justification</u>: Brick chimney is in a degraded and potentially unsafe condition. The interior portion of the structure is in a similar poor repair and cannot reasonably restored to visually aesthetic or useful condition (see photos). In fact, it has been encased in an interior wall for many years due to its overall state of disrepair and was possibly never an exposed finished brick structure in the house. While the preference was to restore both the interior and exterior brick chimney to good condition aesthetically, it was later determined that the original construction and finish methods and current state do not accommodate that plan. As a result, the recommended and best option is to remove the structure entirely, and repair the interior and exterior finish surfaces. The interior fireplace structure cannot be easily removed without also removing the exterior chimney. The metal roof would be professionally repaired including new matching metal sheets and ridge cap for impacted areas as needed. *\*It should be noted that there are multiple similar historic properties in the immediate vicinity that do not have any chimney*.*\**
- d. Alternative Option: none.

City of Pensacola Architectural Review Board Minutes for February 21, 2019 Page 6

If the fence was pushed to the main body of the house and bumped out a little bit with something that cut the corners off, they could provide some balancing elements that would speak to the house. They would also have more freedom with the height of the fence at the body or frontline of the house than at the street front and could accomplish more privacy within that recessed area. Ms. Deese heard the reasoning but had a concern that the Land Development Code permits her to have a fence of a certain height, ARB's authority is really more about the design rather than height and placement. She explained the Land Development Code does not permit ARB to tell an applicant you cannot have a fence in your front yard. Mr. Crawford pointed out the house was in an area approved for front yard fencing. Mr. Mead wanted the project to return with a different design for the fence and a different conception which might speak to some of these compromises which were affected by the park. The fence needed some type of ornament that relates to something on the house. Mr. Crawford stated even though aluminum was not excluded specifically, if she went with steel or wrought iron, the pickets could be smaller in diameter and have less of a visual impact. Mr. Mead made a motion to approve in concept the changing of the roofline of the porte cochere, with coming back for the approval on design on the roof and any other elements of the accessory dwelling. NHPD had no problem with replacing the shingled roof with tile, and that could be with an abbreviated review. Ms. Deese explained the roof was conceptual and needed no abbreviated review. Mr. Mead stipulated that the fence be disapproved as currently requested and be brought back with some other configuration. Mr. Crawford explained that due to the nature of the amount of tile roofs the Board had seen, he was not sure an abbreviated review was appropriate, however, it was noted, the roof was conceptual and would return to the Board. Mr. Crawford pointed out the applicant had requested something specific in the conceptual review, and by approving that concept, the Board was saying that she could proceed. Mr. Mead stated he would approve in concept in changing it and not in the way the applicant said, but it would come back to the Board and accepted the amendment. Ms. Fogarty seconded the motion.

Ms. Dubuisson appreciated consideration of the fence being set back if there were dogs in the front yard because of small children playing along the fence; this would accommodate the applicant's needs as well as those of the neighborhood since this was a high traffic area.

Mr. Crawford suggested the applicant consider these comments when considering the functional needs of her dogs as well as the needs of the neighborhood. **The motion then carried unanimously.** 

#### Item 6

#### 423 E. Government Street

**Contributing Structure** 

HC-1 / Wood Cottages

PHD

## Action taken: Approved with abbreviated review on door - Conceptual Approval of side porch with comments.

Robin Hoban is requesting approval for exterior modifications to include new entry doors, relocation of windows, new door openings, and *CONCEPTUAL* approval of an uncovered side porch. The current owner of the property would like to deviate slightly from the previously approved modifications granted to the previous owners. The previous approval has been included within the application for reference. The new entry doors will be solid wood and will be stained "Dark Oak". The paint palette for the porch deck is proposed to be changed to a darker Benjamin Moore color "Chelsea Gray". This project also includes raising two windows on the east elevation to accommodate kitchen counters within the residence, removing the brick chimney from the center roof ridge, adding a new door on the east side of the residence and replacing a rear-facing window with a door. The applicant would also like *CONCEPTUAL* approval for a deck to be placed on the east side of the structure. If the concept is approved, this item may return with a Variance to reduce the side setback with FINAL approval.

Ms. Hoban presented to the Board and stated she was renovating the structure and returning it to a residence from a prior office and gift shop.

There had been some interior remodeling to remove a kitchen and bathroom, and those would be replaced for use in a residence. She advised the previous owners had the doors approved in 2015, but they were never installed. She did not object to the four-panel doors on the front elevation but wanted light at the top of the doors and provided door examples to the Board.

Mr. Crawford suggested using the proposed style for the rear door on the front elevation as well. Ms. Hoban indicated they would install custom doors, and she could provide whatever was approved. She preferred not using curtains for privacy, and Ms. Fogarty suggested using a frosting for privacy. The Board was agreeable to an abbreviated review for the door choices. Ms. Hoban stated the chimney was completely encased on all sides, and after all the previous modifications, was in poor condition. The exterior of the chimney was also in bad shape with cracks, crumbling brick, and it had not been remortared in a long time. Her preference was to remove the entire structure and repair the metal roof. If the chimney was required, she would then remove the interior structure and do the exterior repair to support the brick. She provided addresses of several homes in the area with a restructured interior but no exterior chimney penetrating the roofline. Mr. Pristera stated typically they preferred the chimney not be removed since it was a part of the character of the structure. Ms. Hoban advised she would have the structural engineer design the support for the chimney. She indicated she could make the landings in whatever the Board thought appropriate. It was clarified that the deck portion would require the variance. Ms. Hoban stated the windows needed to be raised to provide for the kitchen, and they were not original to the structure. Mr. Salter questioned the window sizes, and Ms. Hoban stated she would use the same window opening size. Ms. Deese clarified that the siding replacement could be handled through a board-for-board process; she also stated if the Board was comfortable with the windows, she could also get a board-for-board for them if the applicant was using like materials, design and color.

Ms. Hoban stated the current measurement of the two side windows was 44¼"W x 62"H, and the new windows would be 52"H x 44"W. The Board had no issues with the size being more vertical than horizontal. Mr. Mead made a motion to approve with an abbreviated review on the exact details of the front door, including conceptual approval of the side porch, with the board-for-board approval on the adjusted windows, and the chimney is not to be removed until it is shown to be structurally deficient. The motion was seconded by Mr. Salter (there were no speakers) and carried unanimously.

#### Item 7

#### 60 S. Alcaniz Street

PHD HC-1 / Brick Structures

#### Non-Contributing Structure Action taken: Conceptual approval with comments.

Robert Zimmerman is requesting *CONCEPTUAL* approval for exterior modifications including a new storefront entrance and awning. The proposed storefront will be on the south side of the building facing E. Romana Street and will be located approximately 38 feet from the eastern end of the building.

Mr. Zimmerman presented to the Board and provided development drawings for the members. He explained he was the owner and contractor on this project. He pointed out most tenants needed space for clientele to walk up for business, so they wanted another storefront on the south facing side with a roof for weather protection. They proposed to duplicate what was existing on the front and west facing façade. He explained when they tear off the eyebrow roof, they would add a pitch and replace the roofing. He stated they would set the storefront in 36" to allow for the sidewalk. The storefront would be aluminum bronze with a clear glass. He explained the existing storefront has an anodized finish, and they proposed to paint it bronze to match the new storefront. Mr. Crawford asked if the storefront on the front side was original, and Ms. Zimmerman thought it was an add-on. He also indicated the building would be painted the same colors. He also wanted to remove the coiling door for a means of egress to meet distance requirements.

Architectural Review Board Application Full Board Review



	Application Date:						
Project Address:	423 E. Government Street, Pensacola, FL 32502						
Applicant:	Robin Hoban						
Applicant's Address:	313 Valencia Street, Gulf Breeze, FL 32561						
Email:	robinfla@in	nfionline.ne	t Pho	one:	59		
Property Owner:	Robin Hoban						
District:	PHD 🔽	(If	different from Applic	ant) PHBD	GCD		
Application is hereby made for the project as described herein:							
Residential Hom	nestead – \$50.00 k	nearing fee					
	ner Residential – \$	-	ee				

\* An application shall be scheduled to be heard once all required materials have been submitted and it is deemed complete by the Secretary to the Board. You will need to include fourteen (14) copies of the

required information. Please see pages 3 – 4 of this application for further instruction and information.

**Project specifics/description:** 

Continuation of previous application dated January 31, 2019 pertaining to removal of degraded and structurally unsound brick chimney at subject property. See Item 6 on February 21, 2019 minutes.

*I, the undersigned applicant, understand that payment of these fees does not entitle me to approval and that no refund of these fees will be made. I have reviewed the applicable zoning requirements and understand that I must be present on the date of the Architectural Review Board meeting.* 

Planning Services 222 W. Main Street \* Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 435-1670 Mail to: P.O. Box 12910 \* Pensacola, Florida 32521



Dean A. Spencer Engineering, Inc. 2735 Sanibel Place Gulf Breeze, FL 32563 850.932.8730 Fax: 850.934.9944

January 14, 2020

To whom it may concern:

This letter references the residence located at 423 East Government Street, Pensacola, FL.

During the renovation construction process, it was found that the existing chimney had major structural deficiencies.

The deficiencies are as follows:

- 1) The structural clay brick turned to ashes at the touch.
- 2) The mortar between the bricks was not bonded to the bricks.
- 3) The bricks could be pulled apart with little force.

Because of the items listed above, the chimney is beyond repair and would have to be reconstructed with a wood frame and face-shell brick veneer. The existing roof structure would have to be modified to accommodate a wood framed chimney.

If the chimney is removed, the empty space shall framed in with 2x6 #2 SYP rafters; spacing 16" O.C. minimum. Hang new rafters to existing rafters using Simpson LUS26 hangers or an approved alternative. The contractor is responsible for all flashing and water-proofing of the chimney modification.

If the chimney is to be reconstructed, contact the engineer of record for further analysis and design.

Sincerely,

Dean A. Spencer Dean A. Spencer, PE, SECB

#### 423 E. Government Street

